Baeckea frutescens L.: A Review on Phytochemistry, Biosynthesis, Synthesis, and Pharmacology

Natural Product Communications Volume 18(7): 1–22 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1934578X231189143 journals.sagepub.com/home/npx

S Sage



Abstract

Background: In traditional medicine of Southeast Asian countries, *Baeckea frutescens* L. (family Myrtaceae) has a long history of use. Numerous research projects have shown that this plant contains metabolites with remarkable medicinal value. No review document, to date, has given an insight into the role of *B frutescens* constituents in pharmacological development. **Objective:** The current review briefly offers crucial information on the phytochemistry, biosynthesis, synthesis, and pharmacology of *B frutescens*. **Methods:** *B frutescens* is the most meaningful keyword to search for literature data. It was used either on its own or in combination with other keywords. References have been gathered from various resources such as Google Scholar, SciFinder, and PubMed. More than 50 electronic references were collected from the 1960s. **Results:** Approximately 130 metabolites have been isolated and structurally determined from this medicinal plant. They included phloroglucinols, phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, triterpenoids, flavonoids, chromones, 5-membered ring compounds, and others. *B frutescens* compounds, while phloroglucinol derivatives can be seen as initial compounds in the synthetic procedures of various *B frutescens* molecules. *B frutescens* plant extracts and compounds isolated from them possess a variety of pharmacological properties, such as cytotoxic, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antirheumatoid, skin protective, and mosquito larvicidal activities. **Conclusion:** More experimental reports on phytochemistry and pharmacology are required. In vivo pharmacological studies on the mechanisms of action of the active compounds are urgently required since most of the results obtained so far have been from in vitro assays.

Keywords

Baeckea frutescens, phytochemistry, biosynthesis, synthesis, pharmacology

Received: May 7th, 2023; Accepted: July 4th, 2023.

Introduction

Baeckea frutescens L. is a member of the family Myrtaceae and is indigenous to Australia, New Guinea, and eastern Southeast Asia.¹ It is a shrub with arched branches, linear leaves, and 7-13 stamens on each of its white flowers. *B frutescens*, also known as "Jungrahab," is an Indonesian folk medicine.² Its aerial parts are employed to treat influenza, malaria, fever, head-ache, dysentery, and abdominal pain.² The leaves of this plant are recommended as a remedy for headache, rheumatism, and fever.³ The leaf decoction was used in Malaysia for diuretic and emmenagogue features.⁴ In China, the leaves have been applied as a refreshing herbal tea to cure fever and sunstroke, and the dried leaves could be a febrifuge.⁴ The roots of this plant, known as "Pu Lao Zhong," have good effects in treating rheumatism and snake bites.^{5,6} *B frutescens* is used as a daily health tea with the well-known name of "Gang Song Cha."⁷

By chromatographic procedures and liquid chromatographymass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis, diverse classes of phytochemicals have been detected. Along with other classes, phloroglucinols, flavonoids, and chromones are likely to be the main types of *B frutescens* secondary metabolites.^{5–14} *B frutescens* essential oils are dominated by terpenoids.^{15,16} The plant extracts, fractions, and their isolates are now potential agents for drug development since they possess pivotal pharmacological properties, such as cytotoxic, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory activities.^{13,17,18} As an example, frutescone O, at 0.2-0.8 μ M, suppressed lipopoly-saccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW264.7 cells by blocking TLR4-mediated mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/

Corresponding Author:



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access page (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Faculty of Biology and Agricultural Engineering, Hanoi Pedagogical University 2 (HPU2), Phucyen, Vinhphuc, Vietnam

²Department of Chemistry, College of Education, Vinh University, Vinh City, Nghean, Vietnam

³Institute of Chemistry, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST), Hanoi, Vietnam

Ninh The Son, Institute of Chemistry, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST), 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Caugiay, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam. Email: ntson@ich.vast.vn; yamantson@gmail.com

NI-	Carry and Is	Disast mont more i	Made 1	D . (
INO.	Compounds	Plant part used	Methods	References
Phloroglucinols				
1	Baekeol (BF-3)	Leaf	CC	13,20
2	Baeckenone A	Leaf	CC	13
3	Baeckenone B	Leaf	CC	13
4	Baeckenone C	Leaf	CC	13
5	Baeckenone D	Leaf	CC	14
6	Baeckenone E	Leaf	CC	14
7	Baeckenone F	Leaf	CC	14
8	Baeckenone G	Leaf	CC	3
9	Baeckenone H	Leaf	CC	3
10	Baeckenone I	Leaf	CC	3
11	Baeckenone J	Leaf	CC	3
12	Baeckenone K	Leaf	CC	3
13	BF-1 ^a	Leaf	CC	20
14	(8aR)-8,8a-Dihydro-8a-	Leaf and aerial part	CC	14,21
	hydroxy-7-methoxy-3.3.6.	1		
	8,8-pentamethyl-			
	1,2-benzodioxin-5(3H)-one			
Phloroglucinol-based	meroterpenoids			
15	Baefrutone A	Aerial part	CC	23
16	Baefrutone B	Aerial part	CC	23
17	Baefrutone C	Aerial part	CC	23
18	Baefrutone D	Aerial part	CC	23
19	Baefrutone E	Aerial part	CC	23
20	Baefrutone E	Aerial part	CC	23
21	Baeckfrutone A	Twig and leaf	CC	25
22	(+)-Baeckfrutone B	Twig and leaf	CC	25
23	(±)-Baeckfrutone C	Twig and leaf	CC	25
23	Baeckfrutone D	Twig and leaf	CC	25
25	Baeckfrutone E	Twig and leaf	CC	25
26	Baeckfrutone E	Twig and leaf	CC	25
20	Baeckfrutone G	Twig and leaf	CC	25
28	Baeckfrutone H	Twig and leaf	CC	25
20	(+) Baeckfrutone I	Twig and leaf	CC	25
30	Baeckfrutone I	Twig and leaf	CC	25
31	Backfrutone K	Twig and leaf	CC	25
32	Backfrutone I	Twig and leaf	CC	25
32	Backfrutone M	Twig and leaf		26
34	Backfrutone N	Twig and leaf	CC	26
35	Backfrutone	Twig and leaf	CC	26
36	Backfrutone P	Twig and leaf		26
37	Backfrutone	Twig and leaf	CC	26
39	Backfrutone P	Twig and leaf	CC CC	26
39	Baeckfrutone S	Twig and leaf		26
40	BE 2 ^a	I wig and lear	CC	20
41	Enutescope A	Aerial part	CC	17
42	Frutescone B	Aerial part	CC	17
43	Frutescone C	Aerial part	CC	17
44	Frutescone D	Aerial part	CC .	17
45	Frutescone E	Aerial part	CC	17
46	Frutescone E	Aerial part	CC	17
47	(+)-Enutescone G	Aerial part	CC	17
48	Frutescone H	Aerial part	CC	22
49	Frutescone I	Aerial part	CC	22
50	Frutescone I	Aerial part	CC	22
51	Frutescone K	Aerial part		22
52	Enutescone I	Aerial part	CC	22
52	Frutescope M	Aerial part	CC	22
54	(+)-Frutescone N	Aerial part		22
55	Entescone O	Aerial part	CC	22
55	Entescone D	Aerial part	CC CC	22
57	(+) Emitescone ()	Aerial part		22
58	(+)-Frutescone R	Aerial part		22
59	Emitescone S	Aerial part	CC	24
60	Frutescone T	Aerial part	CC	24
61	Frutescone I	Aerial part	CC	24
Sacquitare analda		Actual Part	00	
62	Carvonhullene 48 50 enoxide	Aerial part	CC	27
63	(-) Clovene 2.0 dial	Aorial part	CC	27
64	Ureiovalie-2,7-tiloi	Leof	CC	3
65	(+) Humulene epovide II	Aerial part	CC	27
Triternenoida	(1) Humanene epoxiae II	Astrai Part	00	
66	Betulinic acid	Whole plant	CC	12
00	Detunine acie	whole plant		

(Continued)

Table 1. Continued

No.	Compounds	Plant part used	Methods	References
67	Oleanolic acid	Whole plant	CC	12
68	Ursolic acid	Leaf	CC	3
Flavonoids				
69	Baeckein A	Root and aerial part	CC	5,8,9
		*	LC-MS	5.0.0
70	Baeckein B	Root and aerial part	CC LC MS	5,6,9
71	Baeckein C	Root and aerial part	CC	6,8,9
		*	LC-MS	680
72	Baeckein D	Root and aerial part	CC LC MS	0,0,7
73	Baeckein E	Root and aerial part	CC	8,9
			LC-MS	7.9
74	Baeckein F	Root and aerial part	CC LC-MS	1,7
75	Baeckein G	Root and aerial part	CC	7,9
			LC-MS	7.9
76	Baeckein H	Root and aerial part	LC-MS	. 3.
77	Baeckein I	Root and aerial part	CC	7,9
			LC-MS	10
78	Baeckein J Baeckein K	Root		10
80	Baeckein K	Root	CC CC	11
81	Baeckein M	Root	CC	11
82	Betmidin	Aerial part	CC	32
83	6.8-Di-C-methylkaempferol	Aerial part	CC	32
84	6,8-Di-C-methylkaempferol 3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranoside	Aerial part	CC	9,32
		1	LC-MS	
85	6-C-Methylquercetin (pinoquercetin)	Aerial part and root	CC	8,9,28,29,32
86	6-Methylauercetin 7-0-β-D-olucopyranoside	Aerial part	CC	28,29
	s strail-fractions (s. E. 5. Strate) and state	F	LC-MS	
87	6-C-Methylquercetin 4'-O-β-D-glucopyranoside	Aerial part and root	CC	8,9,28,32
88	6-C-Methylquercetin 3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranoside	Aerial part	CC	9,32
	, i i,	1	LC-MS	
89	Myricetin	Aerial part	LC-MS	9,29
90	Myricetin 3-O-(5"-O-galloyl)- α -L-arabinofuranoside	Aerial part	CC	20,27
91	Myricitrin (myricetin 3- O - α -L-rhamnopyranoside)	Leaf and aerial part	CC	9,30,32
		1	LC-MS	
92	Quercetin	Aerial part	CC	9,32
93	Overcetin_3-0-0-1-rhamnoside	Aerial part	LC-MS	9,29
94	Ouercetin 3-0-(5"-0-gallov)-q-L-arabinofuranoside	Aerial part	CC	28
Flavanones	Zunning o (o. o. Suno)) in Francount	First		
95	$BF-4^{a}$	Leaf	CC	31
96	BF-5 ^a	Leaf	CC	31
97	BF-6 ^a	Leaf	CC	31
98	2,3-Dihydro-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[1-(2-hydroxy-	Leaf and aerial part	CC	13,21
	4-methoxy-3,3,5-trimethyl-6-oxo-1,4-cyclohexadien-			
	1-yl)-2-methylpropyl]-6-methyl-			
99	2-phenyl-4/1-1-benzopyran-4-one 5.7-Dibydroxy-6.8-dimethylflavanone	Leaf and aerial part	CC	13,29
	5, Differing 6,6 entretaymentatione	itear and aerial part	LC-MS	
100	(±)-5,7-Dihydroxy-8-isobutyryl-6-methyldihydroflavonol	Aerial part	CC	24
101	5-Hydroxy-6-methyl-7-methoxyflavanone	Whole plant and aerial part	CC	9,12
102		XV71 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	LC-MS	9,12
102	5-Hydroxy-/-methoxy-8-methylflavanone	Whole plant and aerial part	LCMS	.,
Chromones			142-1413	
103	2.5-Dihydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropylchromanone	Aerial part	CC	34
104	2.5-Dihydroxy-7-methoxy-2.6-dimethylchromanone	Aerial part	CC	34
105	2.5-Dihydro-7-methoxy-2.8-dimethylchromanone	Aerial part	CC	34
106	2.5-Dihydro-7-methoxy-2-isopropyl-6-methylchromanone	Aerial part	CC	34
107	2.5-Dihydro-7-methoxy-2-isopropyl-8-methylchromanone	Aerial part	CC	34
108	7-O-(4',6'-Digallovl)-β-D-glucopyranosyl-	Aerial part	CC	28
	5-hydroxy-2-methylchromone	1		
109	5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropylchromone	Aerial part and whole plant	CC	9,12,34
			LC-MS	

Tab	le 1	. C	ontinue	d

No.	Compounds	Plant part used	Methods	References
110	5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropyl-6-methylchromone	Aerial part	CC	9,34
		1	LC-MS	
111	5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropyl-8-methylchromone	Aerial part	CC	9,34
			LC-MS	
112	5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-methylchromone (eugenin)	Aerial part	CC	9,34
			LC-MS	
113	5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-dimethylchromone	Aerial part	LC-MS	9
114	6-β-C-Glucopyranosyl-5,7-dihydroxy-2-methylchromone	Leaf	CC	30,33
115	6-β-C-Glucopyranosyl-5,7-dihydroxy-2-isopropylchromone	Leaf	CC	30,33
116	6-β-C-(2'-Galloylglucopyranosyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-	Leaf	CC	30
	2-methylchromone (5)			
117				

^aNo name.

Abbreviations: CC, column chromatography; LC-MS, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry.

nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κB) signaling pathways and inhibiting MyD88 and iNOS expressions. 19

Although there are plenty of experimental reports, no review article has been recorded until now. For the first time, we review several important aspects of this plant, including phytochemical separations, essential oil identifications, biosynthetic and synthetic pathways, and, especially, the applications of chemical constituents in biomedical examinations.

Phytochemistry

In this section, the outcomes of phytochemical investigations of *B frutescens* are based on the use of column chromatography (CC) to isolate purified compounds and LC-MS analysis to detect compounds in plant extracts. A list of isolates is summarized in Table 1 and Figures 1 to 3, including phloroglucinols **1-14**,^{3,13,14,20,21} phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids **15-61**,^{17,20,22–26} sesquiterpenoids **62-65**,^{3,27} triterpenoids **66-68**,^{3,12} flavonoids **69-102**,^{5–13,21,24,28–32} chromones **103-121**,^{9,12,28,30,33,34} 5-membered ring compounds **122-126**,^{2,21} *mono*-phenol **127**,¹² and phytosterol **128**.¹² In addition, the names of these isolates have been ordered in an alphabetic arrangement.

Phloroglucinols, Phloroglucinol-Based Meroterpenoids, Sesquiterpenoids, and Triterpenoids

Phloroglucinols **1-14** are the first chemical class found in this species (Table 1 and Figure 1).^{3,13,14,20,21} From the EtOH leaf extract, Fujimoto et al²⁰ isolated a new phloroglucinol BF-1 (**13**) and a known analog, backeol (**1**). Significantly, 11 new phloroglucinols, backenones A-K (**2-12**), were successfully separated from the CHCl₃ extracts of Indonesian *B frutescens*.^{3,13,14} (8aR)-8,8a-Dihydro-8a-hydroxy-7-methoxy-3,3,6,8,8-pentamethyl-1,2-benzodioxin-5(3H)-one (**14**) was first isolated as a new racemic mixture from the aerial part and then was found in the leaf.^{14,21} Compound **14**, containing an endoperoxide part, was an unusual metabolite. The successful isolation of this compound suggested a close chemotaxonomic relationship between Myrtaceae species since

some analogs were also isolated from other Myrtaceae plants.²¹

The hybrid-type phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids 15-61 were the second chemical class detectable in B frutescens (Table 1 and Figure 1) $^{17,20,22-26}$; all of these isolates were new in nature. Six new meroterpenoids with rare phloroglucinolmonoterpene/sesquiterpene backbones, named baefrutones A-F (15-20), were isolated from the 95% EtOH aerial part extract under high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-Q/TOF-MS² guidance.²³ In the same manner, 19 previously undescribed compounds, baeckfrutones A-S (21-39), were observed in the twig and leaf, in which compounds 22-23 and 29 were present in enantiomeric forms.^{25,26} BF-2 (40) was among the new compounds found in the EtOH leaf extract.²⁰ The 95% EtOH extract of the Chinese B frutescens aerial part contained new secondary metabolites, frutescones A-U (41-61).^{17,22,24} Compounds 47, 54, and 57-58 appeared as enantiomeric forms, whereas 41 and 44 were marked with an unprecedented oxa-spiro[5.8] tetradecadiene skeleton.

Four sesquiterpenoids **62-65** have been isolated from *B* frutescens to date.^{3,27} Chromatographic procedures aided by HPLC of the CH₂Cl₂ aerial part extract afforded a new sesquiterpene, (–)-clovane-2,9-diol (**63**), along with 2 known analogs caryophyllene-4 β ,5 α -epoxide (**62**) and (\pm)-humulene epoxide II (**65**).²⁷ Humulene (**64**), a well-known sesquiterpene, was 1 of the isolates from the leaf.³ Two common triterpenoids, betulinic acid (**66**) and oleanolic acid (**67**), were detectable in the whole plant, while the other known agent ursolic acid (**68**) was only found in the leaf.^{3,12}

Flavonoids

Flavonoids are low-molecular-weight phenolic compounds that are found in a variety of higher plants.^{35–37} As shown in Table 1 and Figure 2, flavonoids derived from *B frutescens* can be divided into flavones **69-94**^{5–11,28–30,32} and flavanones **95-102**.^{9,12,13,21,24,29,31}

Regarding flavones, 13 new derivatives, backeins A-M (**69-81**), were isolated from the roots and structurally determined from spectroscopic and mass spectrometric evidence.^{5–8,10,11}



Figure 1. Phloroglucinols, phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids, sesquiterpenes, and triterpenoids from Baeckea frutescens.



Figure 1. Continued.



Figure 1. Continued.



Figure 2. Flavonoids from Baeckea frutescens.

(continued)



Figure 2. Continued.

From the EtOAc extract of the aerial part, a new flavone, 6,8-di-C-methylkaempferol (83), and 3 new glycoside derivatives, 6,8-di-C-methylkaempferol 3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (84), 6-C-methylquercetin 4'-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (87), and 6-C-methylquercetin 3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (88) were isolated.³² 6-C-Methylquercetin (85) is a well-known compound,^{8,9,28,29,32} but its 7-O-B-D-glucopyranoside (86) was a new flavone separated from the aerial part.²⁸ Likewise, myricetin $(89)^{9,29}$ and myricitrin $(91)^{9,30,32}$ are 2 well-known plant constituents, but myricetin 3-O-(5"-O-galloyl)- α -L-arabinofuranoside (90) was isolated by CC and then identified by LC-MS from the aerial part for the first time.^{28,29} In the last case, by either CC or LC-MS procedures, quercetin (92), its 3-O- α -L-rhamnoside (93), and 3-O-(5"-O-galloyl)- α -L-arabinofuranoside (94) were confirmed to be present in the aerial part.8,28,29,32

In the search for bioactive compounds from natural resources, 3 new cytotoxic flavanones, BF-4 (**95**), BF-5 (**96**), and BF-6 (**97**), were separated from the EtOH leaf extract.³¹ 2,3-Dihydro-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[1-(2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-3,3,5-trimethyl-6-oxo-1,4-cyclohexadien-1-yl)-2-methylpropyl]-6-methyl-2-phenyl-4*H*-1-benzopyran-4-one (**98**) was a new derivative found in the CH₂Cl₂ extract of the aerial part.²¹ It was then isolated from the leaf.¹³ The aerial part of *B frutescens* has been further recorded to contain another new enantiomeric flavanone, (±)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-isobutyryl-6-methyldihydroflavonol (**100**).²⁴ Two known flavanones, 5-hydroxy-6-methyl-7-methoxyflavanone (**101**) and 5-hydroxy-7-methoxy-8-methylflavanone (**102**), were also identified by both CC and LC-MS techniques.^{9,12}

Chromones, 5-Membered Ring Compounds, and Others

Chromones, a subclass of flavonoids, are also present in *B frutescens*. A list of 19 metabolites (**103-121**) is compiled in Table 1. 9,12,28,30,33,34

Their structures were characterized as either free or glycosylated forms (Figure 3). Besides 6 known compounds (103-107 and 112), the CH₂Cl₂ extract of the aerial part contained 3 new chromones, 5-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropylchromone (109), 5-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropyl-6-methylchromone (110), and 5-hydroxy-7methoxy-2-isopropyl-8-methylchromone (111).³⁴ Compound 108, named 7-O-(4',6'-digalloyl)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-5-hydroxy-2-methylchromone, was a new metabolite due to substitution of a digalloyl-glycopyranosyl unit at carbon C-7.28 Glycosylated chromones 114-121 were secondary metabolites found in the leaf, in which 6-β-C-glucopyranosyl-5,7-dihydroxy-2-methylchromone (114), 6-β-C-glucopyranosyl-5,7-dihydroxy-2-isopropylchromone (115), $6-\beta$ -C-(2'-galloylglucopyranosyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-2-isopropylchromone (117), 8-β-C-glucopyranosyl-5,7-dihydroxy-2-isopropylchromone (119), and $8-\beta$ -C-(2'-galloylglucopyranosyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-2-isopropylchromone (121) were new in the literature.^{30,33}

Phytochemical studies on *B frutescens* further identified the presence of 5-membered ring compounds **122-126** (Table 1 and Figure 3).^{2,21} In addition to the known compound 4-hydroxy-2,2,5-trimethyl-4-cyclopentene-1,3-dione (**125**), 4 derivatives, frutescencenones A-C (**122-124**) and 5-(2-hydroxy-2-methylpropylidene)-3-methoxy-2,4,4-trimethyl-

2-cyclopenten-1-one (**126**), were new metabolites detected in the leaf.² Compound **126** was also found in the aerial part.²¹ Compounds **122-126** have also been isolated from the genus *Baeckea* for the first time. Two final compounds, **127-128**, can be classified as a *mono*-phenol and phytosterol, respectively. They originated from the 95% EtOH extract of the whole plant.¹²

Essential Oils

Essential oils are made up of lipophilic and extremely volatile secondary plant metabolites that are physically separable from

Part of use	Collection	Main compounds	References
Leaf	Hatinh, Vietnam	α-Humulene (19.2%), α-caryophyllene (17.3%), baeckeol (13.8%), α-thujene (8.8%), linalool (5.6%), and 1,8-cineole (5.4%)	15
Leaf	Danang, Vietnam	β-Pinene (19.0%), γ-terpinene (11.7%), α-pinene (11.1%), 1,8-cineole (10.1%), α-humulene (9.9%), and (<i>E</i>)-caryophyllene (7.1%)	16
Leaf and stem	Hue, Vietnam	<i>p</i> -Cymene (22.2%), α-thujene (12.3%), 1,8-cineole (10.9%), terpinen-4-ol (7.9%), linalool (6.0%), α-humulene (5.8%), and humulene 6,7-epoxide (5.4%)	41
Leaf and stem	Hanoi, Vietnam	β-Pinene (23.3%), 1,8-cineole (8.6%), α-pinene (8.2%), α-eudesmol (6.5%), β-eudesmol and γ -terpinene (6.3%), and α-humulene (5.3%)	41
Leaf and stem	Quangbinh, Vietnam	Tasmanone (24.3%), γ-terpinene (9.4%), β-pinene (9.0%), 1,8-cineole (6.6%), and <i>p</i> -cymene (5.0%)	41
Leaf	Sabah, Malaysia	β-Pinene (37.3%), α-pinene (18.2%), and borneol (8.9%)	4
Leaf	Kepong, Malaysia	α-Pinene (20.9%), β-pinene (19.0%), γ-terpinene (17.0%), α-humulene (6.1%), and α-terpineol (5.3%)	4
Leaf	Selangor, Malaysia	α-Pinene (48.2%), γ-terpinene (18.7%), linalool (8.6%), and 1,8-cineole (6.0%)	4
Leaf	Terengganu, Malaysia	γ-Terpinene (34.1%), α-humulene (10.6%), <i>p</i> -cymene (9.6%), β-caryophyllene (6.3%), linalool (7.1%), and α-pinene (5.1%)	4
Leaf	Guangxi, China	β-Caryophyllene (28.05%), α-caryophyllene (24.02%), δ-cadinene (6.29%), eucalyptol (5.46%), and β-pinene (5.21%)	42

Table 2. The Main Compounds in Baeckea frutescens Essential Oils.

other plant parts and have a mass below a molecular weight of $300.^{38}$ Hydrodistillation extraction (HE) is likely to be the best extraction approach to obtain a high yield of essential oil.^{39,40} The major compounds (\geq 5.0%) of the essential oil of *B frutescens*, analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), are listed in Table 2.

The leaf oil, collected from Hatinh, Vietnam, was dominated by α -humulene (19.2%), α -caryophyllene (17.3%), baeckeol (13.8%), α -thujene (8.8%), linalool (5.6%), and 1,8-cineole (5.4%), whereas the sample from Danang, Vietnam, yielded β-pinene (19.0%), γ-terpinene (11.7%), α-pinene (11.1%), 1,8-cineole (10.1%), α -humulene (9.9%), and (E)-caryophyllene (7.1%).^{15,16} In another report, the compounds present in the highest amount in the leaf and stem oils collected from Hue, Hanoi, and Quangbinh, Vietnam, were p-cymene (22.2%), β -pinene (23.3%), and tasmanone (24.3%), respectively.⁴¹ By means of GC-MS analysis, 2 pinene isomers were the best representatives for the leaf oils of Sabah, Kepong, and Selangor, Malaysia, but the leaf oil obtained from Terengganu, Malaysia, contained $\gamma\text{-terpinene}$ (34.1%) and others.⁴ $\beta\text{-Caryophyllene}$ (28.05%), α-caryophyllene (24.02%), δ-cadinene (6.29%), eucalyptol (5.46%), and β -pinene (5.21%) were characteristic compounds in the leaf oil of a Chinese sample (Table 2).

Biosynthesis and Synthesis

Biosynthesis

Information on the biosynthesis of *B frutescens* metabolites is now available in the literature and is focused on meroterpenoids. As shown in Figure 4, phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids baeckfrutones A-L (**21-32**) could biosynthetically originate from tasmanone and its demethylated derivative by divergent hetero-Diels-Alder (HAD) reactions.²⁵ The reduction of tasmanone and its demethylated derivative and subsequent dehydration could generate intermediates A1 and A2, which could undergo HAD reactions through pathways I and II with various monoterpenes, comprising α -phellandrene, sabinene, and β -pinene, to afford compounds 21-32 by region and stereoselective [4+2] cycloaddition reactions.²⁵

Along with the isolation of frutescones A-G (**41-47**), Hou et al¹⁷ suggested a plausible biosynthetic pathway of these compounds based on the key precursor, tasmanone (Figure 5). The key compound, tasmanone, β -caryophyllene, and α -humulene appeared as the major constituents of *B frutescens* essential oil. Selective reduction and dehydration of tasmanone provided the intermediate **A3**. Then, this intermediate could undergo HAD reactions with either β -caryophyllene or α -humulene to achieve compounds **41-47** in regio- and stereoselective manners.

Synthesis

The synthetic procedure for backenone B (**3**) has been outlined in Figure 6.⁴³ Friedel–Craft acylation of phloroglucinol gave isobutyrylphloroglucinol **A** in 91% yield. Trimethylated **B** was formed from isobutyrylphloroglucinol in 67% yield by treatment with MeI. Methylation of **B** generated tasmanone, which then underwent a reduction–carbonylation reaction with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) to provide the Michael reaction acceptor **C**. The Michael addition reaction between **C** and **D** (which was also prepared from phloroglucinol through a sequence of Vilsmeier–Haack formylation, reduction with sodium cyanoborohydride, and isobutyrylation) furnished compound **3** in 83% yield.

In 2003, Gray et al⁴⁴ demonstrated synthetic steps of 5-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropylchromone (**109**) and 5-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-methylchromone (**112**) (Figure 7). The procedure started with 2,4,6-trihydroxyacetophenone. Treatment of this compound with dimethyl sulfate and



Figure 3. Chromones, 5-membered ring compounds, and others from Baeckea frutescens.

anhydrous K₂CO₃ in acetone under reflux provided **E** in 90% yield. The resulting dimethylated compound was treated with NaOEt in EtOH, and then ethyl carboxylate esters [RCOOEt; $\mathbf{R} = (CH_3)_2CH$, CH_3] afforded mixtures of condensation products in enol tautomer form **F** and their cyclized derivatives **G**. Treatment of these mixtures with HOAc and H₂SO₄ generated 5,7-dimethoxychromone derivative **H**, which was converted to **109** and **112** by heating with Ac₂O and hydriodic acid.

Pharmacology

Cytotoxicity

BF-1 (13), BF-2 (40), and BF-6 (96) have cytotoxic effects on L-1210 cancer cells, with respective half maximal inhibitory

concentration (IC₅₀) values of 50, 5, and 10 µg/mL. In particular, compounds BF-4 (94) and BF-5 (95) were very active with the same IC₅₀ value of 0.25μ g/mL.^{20,31} New phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids frutescones A-G (41-47) suppressed the proliferation of Caco-2 and A549 cancer cells with IC₅₀ values of 7.96-41.33 µM, but they failed to control HepG2 liver cancer cells (IC₅₀ > 50 µM).¹⁷ The difference in results between compounds 42 and 43, as well as between 45 and 46, may be due to the stereochemistry at carbon C-7.

Baeckenone F (7) moderately restricted the growth of A549, PSN-1, and MDA-MB-231 cancer cells with IC_{50} values of 33.3-39.3 μ M when 5-fluorouracil was used as a positive control (IC_{50} 1.8-4.0 μ M).¹⁴ Baeckenones J-K (**11-12**) strongly inhibited A549 and PSN-1 cancer cells, with IC_{50} values of 11.8-19.2 μ M, but baeckenone I (**10**) showed either weak activity

Table 3. Pharmacological Activities of Isolated Compounds and Plant Extracts from Baeckea frutescens.

Compounds	Models	Effect	References
Cytotoxicity			
5	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 60 \ \mu M / A549$	14
7	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 34 \mu M/A549$	14
		$IC_{50} = 33.3 \mu M/PSN-1$	
		$IC_{50} = 39.3 \mu M/MDA-MB-231$	
10	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 91.7 \ \mu M / A549$	3
11	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 19.2 \ \mu M / A549$	3
		$IC_{50} = 11.8 \ \mu M/PSN-1$	
12	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 17.8 \ \mu M/A549$	3
		$IC_{50} = 15.8 \ \mu M/PSN-1$	
13	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 50 \ \mu g/mL/L-1210$	20
14	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 74.1 \ \mu M/A549$	14
(+)-22	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 79.45 \ \mu M/DU145$	25
(-)-22	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 1.33 \ \mu M/DU145$	25
(+)-23	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 62.64 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 85.79 \ \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 17.65 \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 86.68 \ \mu M/A549$	
(-)-23	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 49.09 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 91.22 \ \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 15.85 \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 86.62 \ \mu M / A549$	
24	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 38.32 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 83.85 \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 6.46 \ \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 76.47 \ \mu M/A549$	
26	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 39.5 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 80.72 \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 15.61 \ \mu M/A549$	
27	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 49.76 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 31.87 \ \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 17.40 \ \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 62.64 \ \mu M/A549$	
28	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 19.50 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 30.44 \ \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 15.14 \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 82.75 \ \mu M/A549$	
(+)-29	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 19.5 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 53.71 \ \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 26.11 \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 84.13 \ \mu M/A549$	25
30	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 52.93 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 4.04 \ \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 79.45 \mu M/A549$	25
31	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 12.89 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 77.06 \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 80.11 \ \mu M/A549$	25
32	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 16.48 \ \mu M/HCT116$	25
		$IC_{50} = 19.81 \ \mu M/HeLa$	
		$IC_{50} = 10.0 \ \mu M/DU145$	
		$IC_{50} = 88.81 \ \mu M/A549$	20
40	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 5 \mu g/mL/L-1210$	20
41	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 8.08 \ \mu M/Caco-2$	1/
		$IC_{50} = 20.07 \ \mu M/A549$	
42	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 23.25 \ \mu M/Caco-2$	17
		$IC_{50} = 41.33 \ \mu M/A549$	
43	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 14.83 \ \mu M/Caco-2$	17
		$IC_{50} = 27.74 \ \mu M/A549$	

(Continued)

Table 3. Con	ntinued
--------------	---------

Compounds	Models	Effect	References
44	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 10.2 \mu M/Caco-2$ $IC_{50} = 26.25 \mu M/A549$	17
45	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 7.96 \ \mu M/Caco-2$ $IC_{50} = 12.14 \ \mu M/A549$	17
46	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 16.51 \mu\text{M}/\text{Caco-2}$ $IC_{50} = 39.02 \mu\text{M}/\lambda549$	17
47	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 14.31 \mu M/Caco-2$ $IC_{50} = 25.71 \mu M/A549$	17
94 and 95	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 0.25 \mu g/mL/L-1210$	31
96	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 10 \mu g/mL/L-1210$	31
122	In vitro	IC ₅₀ = 36.3 μ M/A549 IC ₅₀ = 29.3 μ M/PSN-1 IC ₅₀ = 38.2 μ M/MDA-MB-231	2
123	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 83.9 \mu M/A549$ $IC_{50} = 76.0 \mu M/PSN-1$	2
124	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 88.0 \mu M/A549$ $IC_{50} = 20.1 \mu M/PSN-1$	2
126	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 80.3 \mu\text{M}/\text{MDA-MB-231}$	2
The 50% EtOH leaf extract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 108 \mu g/mL/MCF-7$	45
The 70% EtOH leaf extract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 124 \mu g/mL/MCF-7$	45
The 90% EtOH leaf extract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 84 \mu g/mL/MCF-7$	45
The water leaf extract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 115 \mu g/mL/MCF-7$	45
The <i>n</i> -hexane leaf extract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 56.24 \ \mu g/mL/A549$ $IC_{50} = 26.7 \ \mu g/mL/NCI-H1299$ $IC_{50} = 10 \ \mu g/mL/MCF-7$ $IC_{50} = 80 \ \mu g/mL/MDA-MB-231$	45,46
The rich flavonoid fraction	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 110.8 \ \mu g/mL$ and apoptosis rate 27.54%/ SiHa	47
Antimicrobial activity			12.12
3	In vivo	$MIC = 40 \ \mu M/Bacillus \ subtilis$ $MIC = 3.125 \ \mu g/mL/Salmonella \ paratyphi$	13,43
15-18 and 44-46	In vivo	$MIC = 25 \ \mu g/mL/S \ paratyphi$	43
41	In vivo	$MIC = 6.25 \ \mu g/mL/S \ paratyphi$	43
The EtOH and water leaf extracts	In vitro	MIC < 50 µg/mL/Escherichia coli and Salmonella thypi	48
The EtOH leaf extract (50 mg/mL)	In vitro	IZ = 11.5 mm/MRSA ST/0903-24 IZ = 8.5 mm/MRSA ST/0904-25 IZ = 11.5 mm/MRSA ST/0904-28 IZ = 8.5 mm/MRSA ST/0904-30	49
The EtOH leaf extract (100 mg/mL)	In vitro	IZ = 14.0 mm/MRSA ST/0903-24 IZ = 12.0 mm/MRSA ST/0904-25 IZ = 14.5 mm/MRSA ST/0904-28 IZ = 12.0 mm/MRSA ST/0904-30	50
The MeOH leaf extract (10 mg/mL)	In vitro	$IZ = 13 \text{ mm}/Streptococcus mutans}$	50
The MeOH leaf extract (20 mg/mL)	In vitro	IZ = 14 mm/S mutans	16.42
The leaf oil	In vitro	MIC = 5.11 μ g/mL/ <i>Pseudopestalotiopsis cameltae</i> MIC = 4.79 μ g/mL/ <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> MIC = 64 μ g/mL/ <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> MIC = 16 μ g/mL/ <i>Candida albicans</i>	
The HE and ES-UME leaf oils	In vitro	 MIC = 1.25%/C albicans MIC = 0.625%/Staphylococcus aureus, E coli, and B subtilis MIC = 0.3125%/Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Fecal bacterial, and Propionibacterium acnes 	51
<i>Mosquito larvicidal activity</i> The leaf oil	In vitro	24-h LC ₅₀ = 23 μ g/mL/ <i>Aedes aegypti</i> 24-h LC ₉₀ = 40.05 μ g/mL/ <i>Ae aegypti</i> 24-h LC ₅₀ = 25.73 μ g/mL/ <i>Aedes albopictus</i> 24-h LC ₉₀ = 37.01 μ g/mL/ <i>Ae albopictus</i>	16

(Continued)

Table 3. Continued

Compounds	Models	Effect	References
		24-h LC ₅₀ = 81.72 μ g/mL/ <i>Culex quinquefasciatus</i>	
		24-h LC ₉₀ = 112.7 μ g/mL/ <i>Cx quinquefasciatus</i>	
		48-h LC ₅₀ = 15.31 μ g/mL/Ae aegypti	
		48-h LC ₀₀ = 34.69 µg/mL/Ae aegypti	
		48-h LC ₅₀ = 23.98 μ g/mL/Ae albotictus	
		48-b I C ₂₂ = 37.63 µg/mI / $4a$ albetictus	
		48 h LC = 64.06 μ g/mL/ Δ te autophilas	
		48-h LC ₅₀ = 64.06 μ g/ mL/ Cx quinque astrains	
		48-h LC ₉₀ = 116.6 μ g/mL/Cx quinquefasciatus	
Anti-inflammatory activity			23
15	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 9.15 \ \mu M/NO \text{ production}$	25
16	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 17.73 \ \mu M/NO$ production	25
17	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 11.62 \ \mu M/NO \ production$	23
18	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 18.04 \mu M/NO$ production	23
26 (50 µM)	In vitro	76.64% inhibition/NO production	25
27 (50 µM)	In vitro	75.37% inhibition/NO production	25
(+)-29 (50 µM)	In vitro	55.13% inhibition/NO production	25
30(50 mM)	In vitro	75.01% inhibition/NO production	25
30 (50 µW)		$V_{\rm S}$ = 20.86 μ M/NO production	26
34		$IC_{50} = 20.80 \mu M/100 \text{production}$	26
39	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 36.21 \mu\text{M}/\text{NO}$ production	18
40 (0.4-1.6 μM)	In vitro	To inhibit NLRP3 inflammasome in J774A.1	10
		macrophages via inhibiting MAPK/NF-κB	
		signaling pathways	
40 (50 mg/kg)	In vivo	To inhibit NLRP3 inflammasome in mice via	18
		inhibiting MAPK/NF- κ B signaling pathways	
49	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 18.75 \mu M/NO \text{ production}$	22
52	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 30.54 \mu\text{M/NO}$ production	22
53	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 15.17 \mu M/NO$ production	22
55		$IC_{50} = 1.80 \text{ µM/NO}$ production	22
54		$1C_{50} = 1.80 \mu\text{M/NO}$ production	22
55	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 0.36 \mu\text{M}/\text{NO}$ production	19
55 (0.2-0.8 μM)	In vitro	To suppress LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells by inhibiting MAPK/NF- κ B and MyD88 and iNOS	
		expressions	
56	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 3.7 \mu M/NO$ production	22
57	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 2.07 \mu M/NO$ production	22
58	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 6.50 \mu M/NO$ production	22
59	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 0.81 \text{ µM/NO production}$	24
74	In vitro	$IC_{30} = 54.7 \text{ µM/NO} \text{ production}$	7
74		$IC_{50} = 25.4 \text{ mM/NO}$ production	7
75		$IC_{50} = 23.4 \mu \text{M/NO}$ production	7
76	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 43.8 \mu\text{M}/\text{NO}$ production	7
77	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 15.2 \mu\text{M}/\text{NO}$ production	28
85	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 5.54 \mu\text{M}/\text{COX-1}$	20
		$IC_{50} = 2.14 \mu M/COX-2$	20
86	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 4.53 \ \mu M/COX-1$	28
		$IC_{50} = 1.89 \ \mu M/COX-2$	
87	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 4.15 \mu M/COX-1$	28
		$IC_{50} = 1.63 \mu M/COX-2$	
90	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 1.95 \mu M/COX_{-1}$	28
<i>y</i> 0	in viuo	$IC_{50} = 1.03 \mu M/COX 2$	
04	T	$10_{50} - 1.01 \mu M/COX - 2$	28
94	In vitro	$1C_{50} = 5.42 \mu\text{M}/\text{COX-1}$	
		$IC_{50} = 1.61 \mu\text{M}/\text{COX-2}$	52
98 (100 μg/mL)	In vitro	To show anti-inflammatory effect in MALP-2-stimulated RAW264.7 cells via inhibition of MvD88 and NF-κB	22
100	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 9.73 \text{ µM/NO production}$	24
100		$IC_{50} = 7.75 \mu M/COV 1$	28
100		$10_{50} = 7.17 \mu m/COX^{-1}$	
		$1C_{50} = 2.27 \mu \text{M}/\text{COA-2}$	
Antioxidative activity	. .		8
69	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 12.0 \ \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	~

Table 3. Continued

Compounds	Models	Effect	References
70	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 12.1 \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	8
71	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 15.1 \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	8
		34.8% inhibition/H2O2-stimulated PC12 cells	
72	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 15.0 \ \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	8
		36.0% inhibition/H2O2-stimulated PC12 cells	
73	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 16.1 \ \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	8
		31.8% inhibition/H2O2-stimulated PC12 cells	
78	In vitro	54.8% inhibition/H2O2-stimulated PC12 cells	10
79	In vitro	60.2% inhibition/H2O2-stimulated PC12 cells	10
83	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 120.6 \ \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	32
84	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 125.9 \ \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	32
85	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 11.8 \ \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	0
		43.0% inhibition/H ₂ O ₂ -stimulated PC12 cells	8 32
87	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 13.5 \mu M/DPPH$ radical scavenging	0,52
20	- ·	44.7% inhibition/ H_2O_2 -stimulated PC12 cells	32
88	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 12.1 \mu\text{M/DPPH}$ radical scavenging	30
91	In vitro	$1C_{50} = 3.39 \mu\text{M/copper-induced LDL oxidation}$	30
116	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 3.35 \mu\text{M}/\text{copper-induced LDL oxidation}$	30
117	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 3.90 \ \mu M/copper-induced LDL oxidation$	30
120	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 3.98 \mu\text{M}/\text{copper-induced LDL oxidation}$	30
121 The EtOLUla Contract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 3.91 \mu\text{M}/\text{copper-induced LDL oxidation}$	48
The EtOH leaf extract	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 41.96 \mu\text{g/mL/DPPH}$ radical scavenging	48
The where a loof outroat	In vitro	$E_{50} = 93.5 \ \mu g/mL/DPPH radical scavenging$	46
The <i>n</i> -nexalle leaf extract		$EC_{50} = 0.347 \text{ mg/mL/DPPH}$	
		$EC_{50} = 0.102 \text{ mg/mL/refine reducing power}$	
The EtOAc leaf extract	In vitro	$EC_{50} = 0.047$ mg/mL/DPDH	46
The Elone lear extract	III VILIO	$EC_{50} = 0.004 \text{ mg/mL/brrris}$ reducing power	
		$EC_{50} = 0.055 \text{ mg/mL/retrie reducing power}$	
The MeOH leaf extract	In vitro	$EC_{50} = 0.101 \text{ mg/mL/DPPH}$	46
The meorrical extract	in vido	$EC_{50} = 0.041 \text{ mg/mL/ferric reducing power}$	
		$EC_{50} = 0.067 \text{ mg/mL/metal chelating}$	
The water leaf extract	In vitro	$EC_{50} = 0.110 \text{ mg/mL/DPPH}$	46
		$EC_{50} = 0.026 \text{ mg/mL/ferric reducing power}$	
		$EC_{50} = 0.039 \text{ mg/mL/metal chelating}$	
The HE leaf oil	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 15.929 \mu g/mL/DPPH$ radical scavenging	51
The ES-UME leaf oil	In vitro	$IC_{50} = 14.012 \mu g/mL/DPPH$ radical scavenging	51
The leaf oil	In vitro	$EC_{50} = 1.39 \mu g/mL/ferric reducing power$	53
		$EC_{50} = 0.44 \mu g/mL/metal$ chelating	
		$EC_{50} = 0.29 \ \mu g/mL/\beta$ -carotene bleaching	
Antirheumatoid arthritis			
25-100 mg/kg	In vivo	To inhibit toe swelling of mice and relieve the	54
		degradation of articular cartilage matrix and	
		inflammatory cell infiltration	
Skin protective activity			20
The EtOH leaf extract	In vitro	14% proliferation/HaCaT cells at 6.25 μ g/mL	29
		24% proliferation/HaCaT cells at 3.125 μ g/mL	
		36% proliferation/BJ cells at 25 µg/mL	
		51% proliferation/BJ cells at 12.5 µg/mL	

A549, lung cancer cells; Caco-2 and HCT116, colon cancer cells; COX, cyclooxygenase; DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; DU145, prostate cancer cells; EC₅₀, half maximal effective concentration; ES-UME, enzymatic surfactant-ultrasonic microwave extraction; HE, hydrodistillation extraction; IZ, inhibitory zone; LC₅₀, half lethal concentration; LC₉₀, 90% lethal concentration; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L-1210, leukemia cells; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231, breast cancer cells; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MALP-2, macrophage-activating lipopeptide-2; NO, nitric oxide; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; PSN-1, pancreatic cancer cells; SiHa, cervical cancer cells.

 $(IC_{50} = 91.7 \ \mu M/A549)$ or was inactive $(IC_{50} > 100 \ \mu M/PSN-1)$.³ This result can be explained by functional groups at

carbons C-7 and C-8. Five isolated compounds (122-126) showed cytotoxicity at different levels (Table 3); frutescencenone



Figure 4. Biosynthetic pathway of baeckfrutones A-L (21-32).

A (**122**) was remarkably active against A549, PSN-1, and MDA-MB-231 cancer cells, with IC_{50} values of 36.3, 29.3, and 38.2 μ M.² Frutescencenone C (**124**) showed selective activity against PSN-1 cancer cells, with an IC_{50} value of 20.1 μ M, but 4-hydroxy-2,2,5-trimethyl-4-cyclopentene-1,3-dione (**125**) was inactive toward these 3 cancer cells ($IC_{50} > 100 \mu$ M).²

Baeckfrutones B-L (**22-32**) inhibited the growth of HCT116, HeLa, DU145, and A549 cancer cells at different levels, especially (–)-**22**, **26**, and **31**, which were remarkably cytotoxic to DU145, A549, and HCT116 cells, with IC₅₀ values of 1.33, 15.61, and 12.89 μ M, respectively.²⁵

Cytotoxicity of the *n*-hexane leaf extract against A549 and NCI-H1299 cancer cells was associated with IC₅₀ values of 56.24 and 26.7 µg/mL, respectively, but the EtOAc, MeOH, and water extracts were either weak or inactive (IC₅₀ > 100 µg/mL).⁴⁶ From Table 3, *n*-hexane, EtOH, and water extracts of the leaf exhibited cytotoxicity against MCF-7 cancer cells (IC₅₀ 10-124 µg/mL), but only the *n*-hexane extract showed activity against MDA-MB-231 (IC₅₀ 80 µg/mL).⁴⁵ The rich flavonoid fraction remarkably controlled the proliferation of SiHa cancer cells (IC₅₀ 110.8 µg/mL, 27.54% apoptosis rate).⁴⁷

Antimicrobial and Mosquito Larvicidal Activities

Baeckenone B (**3**) moderately controlled the bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* with a MIC value of 40 μ M.¹³ Baefrutones A-D (**15-18**) and frutescones D-F (**44-46**) suppressed *Salmonella para-typhi* with the same MIC value of 25 μ g/mL, as compared with that of baeckenone B (**3**, MIC 3.125 μ g/mL), frutescone A (**41**, MIC 6.25 μ g/mL), and the standard fluconazole (MIC 3.125 μ g/mL).⁴³

The EtOH and water extracts of *B frutescens* leaf were active against both *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella thypi* with MIC values of less than 50 μ g/mL.⁴⁸ At 50 and 100 mg/mL, the

EtOH leaf extract also suppressed methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) with inhibitory zone (IZ) values of 8.5-14.5 mm (Table 3).⁴⁹ Similarly, at 10 and 20 mg/mL, the MeOH leaf extract was responsible for the inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans* with IZ values of 13 and 14 mm, respectively.⁵⁰

B frutescens leaf oil controlled the growth of *Pseudopestalotiopsis* camelliae, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Candida albicans*, with MIC values of 5.11, 4.79, 64, and 16 μ g/mL, respectively.^{16,42} In another approach, the leaf oils extracted by HE and enzymatic surfactant-ultrasonic microwave extraction (ES-UME) exhibited the same antimicrobial activity against *C albicans* (MIC 1.25%), *S aureus*, *E coli*, and *B subtilis* (MIC 0.625%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Propionibacterium acnes* (MIC 0.3125%).⁵¹

Besides antimicrobial activity, *B frutescens* leaf oil showed 24and 48-h LC_{50} values of 15.31-81.72 µg/mL and LC_{90} values of 34.69-116.6 µg/mL against *Aedes aegypti*, *Aedes albopictus*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus*.¹⁶

Anti-inflammatory Activity

Compound BF2 (40), at 0.4-1.6 μ M, showed in vitro NLRP3 inflammasome activation inhibition by suppressing cell proptosis and interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) secretion in J774A.1 macrophages.¹⁸ In an in vivo model, this compound (50 mg/kg, gastric injection) inhibited NLRP3 inflammasome activation in mice by inhibiting the MAPK/NF- κ B signaling pathway and mitochondrial damage-mediated oxidative stress.¹⁸ Frutescone O (55), at 0.2-0.8 μ M, suppressed LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells by blocking TLR4-mediated MAPK/NF- κ B signaling pathways and inhibiting MyD88 and iNOS expressions.¹⁹ At 100 μ g/mL, compound **98** also showed an anti-



Figure 5. Biosynthetic pathway of frutescones A-G (41-47).

inflammatory effect in macrophage-activating lipopeptide-2 (MALP-2)-stimulated RAW264.7 cells by inhibition of MyD88 and NF- κ B (Figure 8).⁵²

In an anti-inflammatory assay against nitric oxide (NO) production in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells, the IC₅₀ values of baefrutones A-D, N, and S (**15-18**, **34**, and **39**), frutescones I and L-S (**49** and **52-59**), and 5,7-dihydroxy-8-isobutyryl-6methyldihydroflavonol (**100**), ranging from 0.36 to 36.21 μ M, were comparable with that of the standard N-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) (IC₅₀ 30.92 μ M), but baefrutones E-F (**19-20**) and frutescones H, J-K, and T-U (**48**, **50-51**, and **60-61**) did not show activity (IC₅₀ > 50 μ M).^{22–24,26} Furthermore, the anti-inflammatory activity of compound **55** was involved in the suppression of NF- κ B p65 and the decrease of IL-6 and tumor nuclear factor- α (TNF- α).²²

The flavones backeins F-I (**74-77**) were also active against NO production with IC₅₀ values of 54.7, 25.4, 43.8, and 15.2 μ M, respectively, as compared with that of the standard indomethacin (IC₅₀ 13.8 μ M).⁷ This difference can be explained by the stereochemistry at carbons C-2 and C-3 and functional groups at phenyl carbon C-4. At 50 μ M, compounds **26**, **27**, (+)-**29**, and **30** inhibited NO production by up to 74.64%, 75.37%, 55.13%, and 75.01%, respectively.²⁵

As shown in Table 3, flavones **85-87**, **90**, and **94** and chromone **108** had greater anti-inflammatory activity against cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 (IC₅₀ 1.95-5.54 μ M) than COX-1 (IC₅₀ 1.01-2.27 μ M).²⁸



Figure 6. Synthetic pathway of phloroglucinol 3.

Antioxidant Activity

Baeckeins J (**78**) and K (**79**), on the one hand, have no cytotxicity to PC12 cells (IC₅₀ > 100 μ M) but, on the other hand, at a dose of 10 μ M, inhibited H₂O₂-stimulated PC12 cells by up to 54.8% and 60.2%, respectively.¹⁰ Similarly, the inhibitory rates of baeckeins C-E (**71-73**), 6-*C*-methylquercetin (**85**), and 6-*C*-methylquercetin 4'-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**87**) were 31.8%-44.7%.⁸ Flavone **91** and chromones **116-117** and **120-121**, containing 1 pyrogallolyl unit, inhibited copperinduced low-density lipoprotein (LDL) oxidation with IC₅₀ values of 3.35-3.91 μ M, but chromones **114-115** and **118-119**, which had no pyrogallolyl unit, did not show activity.³⁰

Flavones baeckeins A-E (**69-73**) and 6-*C*-methylquercetin (**85**), with IC₅₀ values of 11.8-16.1 μ M, were also superior to the standard compound quercetin (IC₅₀ 18.2 μ M) in scavenging 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals.⁸ The difference

among compounds **71-73** is due to the stereochemistry at carbons C-2 and C-3.

Two new flavones **87-88** showed strong antioxidative activity to scavenge DPPH radicals, with IC_{50} values of 12.1-13.5 μ M, but their new derivatives **83-84** exerted only weak activity, with IC_{50} values of 120.6-125.9 μ M.³² Thereby, it can be concluded that the quercetin skeleton seems better than the kaempferol skeleton, and the glycosyl parts did not show a significant role in the activity.

The EtOH and water extracts of *B frutescens* leaf were also the subjects of a DPPH assay, producing respective IC_{50} values of 41.96 and 93.30 µg/mL, in comparison with that of the standard gallic acid (IC_{50} 3.81 µg/mL).⁴⁸ The *n*-hexane, MeOH, EtOAc, and water extracts of *B frutescens* leaf showed antioxidative activity in the DPPH radical scavenging, ferric reducing power, and metal chelating models (Table 3).⁴⁶ In particular, the water extract (EC_{50} 0.039 mg/



Figure 7. Synthetic pathway of chromones 109 and 112.



Figure 8. Anti-inflammatory mechanism of compound 98.

mL) was better than the standard EDTA (EC_{50} 0.042 mg/ mL) in the metal chelating assay. 46

Besides antimicrobial activity, the HE and ES-UME leaf oils generated IC_{50} values of 15.9 and 14.0 µg/mL, respectively, in a DPPH antioxidative assay when α -tocopherol was used as a

positive control (IC₅₀ 0.12 μ g/mL).⁵¹ *B frutescens* leaf oil was also demonstrated as a potential agent in other antioxidative models, in which it possessed ferric reducing power, metal chelating, and β -carotene bleaching, with EC₅₀ values of 0.29-1.39 μ g/mL.⁵³

Antirheumatoid Arthritis and Skin Protective Activities

BF-2 (**40**) acted as a prostaglandin E2 receptor 4 (EP4) antagonist, which has potential antirheumatoid arthritis activity. At a dose of 25-100 mg/kg, it could inhibit toe swelling of mice and relieve the degradation of articular cartilage matrix and inflammatory cell infiltration.⁵⁴

The EtOH leaf extract increased the proliferation and migration of keratinocytes and fibroblast BJ cells. In detail, HaCaT's proliferation was increased by 14% and 24% at 6.25 and $3.125 \mu g/mL$, respectively, after 48 h treatment, and BJ's proliferation was increased by 36% and 51% at 25 and 12.5 $\mu g/mL$, respectively, after 24 h treatment.²⁹

Conclusion and Perspective

For the first time, the current review provides full information on the phytochemistry, biosynthesis, synthesis, and pharmacology of B frutescens constituents. Phytochemical studies of B frutescens tissues have led to the isolation and structural determination of 128 secondary metabolites, including 14 phloroglucinols, 47 phloroglucinol-based meroterpenoids, 4 sesquiterpenoids, 3 triterpenoids, 34 flavonoids, 19 chromones, 5 5-membered ring compounds, 1 mono-phenol, and 1 phytosterol. B frutescens is also rich in essential oils, in which monoterpenes, monoterpenoids, sesquiterpenes, and sesquiterpenoids were the main chemical classes. Generally, tasmanone acted as a precursor in biosynthesis, whereas the previous reports dealt with the use of phloroglucinol derivatives in the synthetic procedures of Bfrutescens molecules. Crude plant extracts, fractions, and the isolates of B frutescens possess a variety of pharmacological activities, such as cytotoxic, antimicrobial, antiinflammatory, antioxidative, antirheumatoid, skin protective, and mosquito larvicidal activities.

However, further chemical examination is necessary. Some compounds contain stereogenic centers pending the assignment of the absolute configuration, and a good number of compounds have been described as racemic mixtures, which is not common in the biogenesis of natural products. Hence, structural elucidation studies are welcome. There is also a lack of in vitro and in vivo studies of the pharmacological mechanisms of action since most of the data obtained so far have been the result of initial screenings. Many isolated metabolites, especially the major potential compounds, have not yet received attention in pharmacological examinations. Last but not least, structure–activity relationship studies are required, as well as virtual docking calculations.

Authors' Contributions

DTLH: formal analysis and revision; DXD: collection and formal analysis; and NTS: designated and wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Ninh The Son (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7374-5914 Dau Xuan Duc(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3538-8565

References

- Yusuf UK. Baeckea frutescens L. In: van Valkenburg JLCH, Bunyapraphatsara N, eds. Plant resources of South-East Asia No. 12(2): medicinal and poisonous plants 2. Backhuys Publisher; 2001.
- Ito T, Nisa K, Kodama T, et al. Two new cyclopentenones and a new furanone from *Baeckea frutescens* and their cytotoxicities. *Fitoterapia*. 2016;112:132-135. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2016.05.017
- Ito T, Nisa K, Rakainsa SK, Lallo S, Morita H. New phloroglucinol derivatives from Indonesian *Baeckea frutescens*. *Tetrahedron*. 2017;73(8):1177-1181. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2017.01.016
- Jantan I, Ahmad AS, Bakar SAA, Ahmad AR, Trockenbrodt M, Chak CV. Constituents of the essential oil of *Baeckea frutescens* L. from Malaysia. *Flavour Fragr J*. 1998;13(4):245-247. doi:10. 1002/(SICI)1099-1026(1998070)13:4 < 245::AID-FFJ736 > 3.0. CO;2-J
- Jia BX, Yang J, Chen XQ, Cao Y, Lai MX, Wang Q. Baeckeins A and B, two novel 6-methylflavonoids from the roots of *Baeckea frutescens*. *Helv Chim Acta*. 2011;94(12):2283-2288. doi:10.1002/hlca. 201100176
- Jia BX, Zhou YX, Chen XQ, et al. Structure determination of baeckeins C and D from the roots of *Baeckea frutescens*. *Magn Reson Chem*. 2011;49(11):757-761. doi:10.1002/mrc.2803
- Jia BX, Zheng XL, Ren FX, et al. Baeckeins F–I, four novel C-methylated biflavonoids from the roots of *Baeckea frutescens* and their anti-inflammatory activities. *Food Chem.* 2014;155:31-37. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.01.022
- Jia BX, Ren FX, Jia L, Chen XQ, Yang J, Wang Q. Baeckein E, a new bioactive C methylated biflavonoid from the roots of *Baeckea* frutescens. Nat Prod Res. 2013;27(22):2069-2075. doi:10.1080/ 14786419.2013.778852
- Jia BX, Huangfu QQ, Ren FX, et al. Identification and quantification of flavonoids and chromes in *Baeckea frutescens* by using HPLC coupled with diode-array detection and quadruple time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Nat Prod Res.* 2015;29(9):800-806. doi:10. 1080/14786419.2014.987144
- Jia BX, Jia A, Li CJ, et al. Baeckeins J and K, two novel C-methylated biflavonoids from the roots of *Baeckea frutescens* and their cytoprotective activities. *Helv Chim Acta*. 2016;99(7):499-505. doi:10.1002/hlca.201600013

- Jia CJ, Sun XM, Zhang XY, Wei ZJ, Jia BX. Baeckeins L and M, two novel C-methylated triflavonoids from the roots of *Baeckea frutescens* L. *Nat Prod Res.* 2020;34(2):278-283. doi:10.1080/14786419. 2018.1528590
- Chen JY, Ya QK, Lu WJ, Liu BM. Study on the chemical constituents of *Baeckea frutescens*. Nat Prod Res Dev. 2008;20:827-829.
- Nisa K, Ito K, Subehan Matsui T, Kodama T, Morita H. New acylphloroglucinol derivatives from the leaves of *Baeckea frutescens*. *Phytochem Lett.* 2016;15:42-45. doi:10.1016/j.phytol.2015.11.011
- Nisa K, Ito K, Kodama T, et al. New cytotoxic phloroglucinols, baeckenones D–F, from the leaves of Indonesian *Baeckea frutescens*. *Fitoterapia*. 2016;109:236-240. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2016.01.013
- Dai DN, Thang TD, Olayiwola TO, Ogunwande IA. Chemical composition of essential oil of *Baeckea frutescens* L. Int Res J Pure Appl Chem. 2015;8(1):26-32. doi:10.9734/IRJPAC/2015/17199
- An NTG, Huong LT, Satyal P, et al. Mosquito larvicidal activity, antimicrobial activity, and chemical compositions of essential oils from four species of Myrtaceae from central Vietnam. *Plants.* 2020;9(4):544. doi:10.3390/plants9040544
- Hou JQ, Guo C, Zhao JJ, He QW, Zhang BB, Wang H. Frutescone A-G, tasmanone-based meroterpenoids from the aerial parts of *Baeckea frutescens*. J Org Chem. 2017;82(3):1448-1457. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.6b02643
- Lin X, Wang H, An X, Zhang J, Hou J, Yan M. Baeckein E suppressed NLRP3 inflammasome activation through inhibiting both the priming and assembly procedure: implications for gout therapy. *Phytomedicine*. 2021;84:153521. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2021.153521
- Lin X, Zhang J, Fan D, et al. Frutescone O from Baeckea frutescens blocked TLR4-mediated Myd88/NF-κB and MAPK signaling pathways in LPS induced RAW264.7 macrophages. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:643188. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.643188
- Fujimoto Y, Usui S, Makino M, Sumatra M. Phloroglucinols from Baeckea frutescens. Phytochemistry. 1996;41(3):923-925. doi:10.1016/ 0031-9422(95)00659-1
- Tsui WY, Brown GD. Unusual metabolites of *Baeckea frutescens*. *Tetrahedron*. 1996;52(29):9735-9742. doi:10.1016/0040-4020(96)00510-8
- Hou JQ, Guo C, Zhao JJ, et al. Anti-inflammatory meroterpenoids from *Baeckea frutescens*. J Nat Prod. 2017;80(8):2204-2214. doi:10. 1021/acs.jnatprod.7b00042
- Hou JQ, Wang BL, Han C, et al. Atropisomeric meroterpenoids with rare triketone-phloroglucinol-terpene hybrids from *Baeckea* frutescens. Org Biomol Chem. 2018;16:8513-8524. doi:10.1039/ C8OB02433B
- Hou JQ, Zhao H, Yu JH, Chen LJ, Wang H. New meroterpenoids and C-methylated flavonoid isolated from *Baeckea frutescens*. *Chin* J Nat Med. 2020;18(5):379-384. doi:10.1016/S1875-5364(20) 30044-3
- Qin XJ, Zhi YE, Yan H, et al. Baeckfrutones A-L, polymethylated phloroglucinol meroterpenoids from the twigs and leaves of *Baeckea frutescens. Tetrahedron.* 2018;74(46):6658-66666. doi:10. 1016/j.tet.2018.09.050
- Zhi YE, Qi XJ, Liu H, et al. Structurally diverse polymethylated phloroglucinol meroterpenoids from *Baeckea frutescens*. Nat Prod Bio. 2018;8:431-439. doi:10.1007/s13659-018-0189-3

- Tsui WY, Brown GD. Sesquiterpenes from Baeckea frutescens. J Nat Prod. 1996;59(11):1084-1086. doi:10.1021/np960419w
- Zhou JN, Yan M, Gao P, Hou JQ, Pham TA, Wang H. New flavonoids and methylchromone isolated from the aerial parts of *Baeckea frutescens* and their inhibitory activities against cyclooxygenases-1 and -2. *Chin J Nat Med.* 2018;16(8):615-620. doi:10.1016/S1875-5364(18)30099-2
- Kamarazaman IS, Ali NAM, Abdullah F, et al. *In vitro* wound healing evaluation, antioxidant and chemical profiling of *Baeckea frutescens* leaves ethanolic extract. *Arab J Chem.* 2022;15(6): 103871. doi:10.1016/j.arabjc.2022.103871
- Kamiya K, Satake T. Chemical constituents of *Baeckea frutescens* leaves inhibit copper-induced low-density lipoprotein oxidation. *Fitoterapia*. 2010;81(3):185-189. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2009.08.021
- Makino M, Fujimoto Y. Flavanones from *Baeckea frutescens*. *Phytochemistry*. 1999;50(2):273-277. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(98) 00534-2
- Quang TH, Cuong NX, Minh CV, Kiem PV. New flavonoids from *Baeckea frutescens* and their antioxidant activity. *Nat Prod Commun.* 2008;3(5):755-758. doi:10.1177/1934578X080030
- Satake T, Kamiya K, Saiki Y, et al. Chromone C-glycosides from Baeckea frutescens. Phytochemistry. 1999;50(2):303-306. doi:10.1016/ S0031-9422(98)00513-5
- Tsui WY, Brown GD. Chromones and chromanones from *Baeckea* frutescens. Phytochemistry. 1996;43(4):871-876. doi:10.1016/0031-9422(96)00360-3
- Ha NM, Son NT. The genus *Cryptocarya*: a review on phytochemistry and pharmacological activities. *Chem Biodiver*. 2023;20(3): e20221102. doi:10.1002/cbdv.202201102
- Hop NQ, Son NT. Botanical description, traditional uses, phytochemistry, and pharmacology of the genus *Artabotrys*: a review. *Chem Biodiver*. 2022;19(11):e202200725. doi:10.1002/cbdv.202200725
- Hop NQ, Son NT. The medicinal plant *Agrimonia pilosa* Ledeb: botanical description, traditional use, phytochemistry and pharmacology. *Comb Chem High Throughput Screen*. 2023;26(9):1660-1688. doi:10.2174/1386207325666220928163930
- Turek C, Stintzing FC. Stability of essential oils: a review. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2013;12(1):40-53. doi:10.1111/1541-4337.12006
- Huong LT, Sam LN, Dai DN, Ty PV, Son NT. Essential oils of two ginger plants *Newmania orthostachys* N.S. Lý & Škorničk. and *N. serpens* N.S. Lý & Škorničk.: chemical compositions and antimicrobial activity. *J Essent Oil-Bear Plants*. 2022;25(6):1221-1228. doi:10.1080/0972060X.2022.2158046
- Son NT. Genus Acronychia: an extensive review on phytochemistry and pharmacological activities. Mini Rev Org Chem. 2023. doi:10. 2174/1570193X20666221026162904
- Tam NT, Thuam DT, Bighelli A, et al. Baeckea frutescens leaf oil from Vietnam: composition and chemical variability. Flavour Fragr J. 2004;19(3):217-220. doi:10.1002/ffj.1281
- 42. Jiang H, Zhang M, Qin L, et al. Chemical composition of a supercritical fluid (Sfe-CO₂) extract from *Baeckea frutescens* L. leaves and its bioactivity against two pathogenic fungi isolated from the tea plant (*Camellia sinensis* (L) O. Kuntze). *Plants.* 2020;9(9):1119. doi:10.3390/plants9091119

- Hou JQ, Yu JH, Zhao H, et al. Biomimetic total syntheses of baefrutones A-D, baeckenon B, and frutescones A, D–F. Org Biomol Chem. 2020;18:1135-1139. doi:10.1039/C9OB02490E
- 44. Gray AC, Kaye PT, Nchinda AT. Chromone studies. Part 13.1 synthesis and electron-impact mass spectrometric studies of 5-hydroxy-2-isopropyl-7-methoxychromone, a constituent of the medicinal plant *Baeckea frutescens*, and side-chain analogues. J Nat Prod. 2003;66(8):1144-1146. doi:10.1021/np030097d
- 45. Shahruzaman SH, Mustafa MF, Ramli S, Maniam S, Fakurazi S, Maniam S. The cytotoxic effect and glucose uptake modulation of *Baeckea frutescens* on breast cancer cells. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2019;19:200. doi:10.1186/s12906-019-2628-z
- Navanesan S, Wahab NA, Manickam S, Sim KS. Evaluation of selected biological capacities of *Baeckea frutescens*. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2015;15:186. doi:10.1186/s12906-015-0712-6
- Ma X, Lu Y, Bingbing Z, Hongcong Q, Xun X, Wei M. Effects of total flavonoids from *Baeckea frutescens* on the migration, invasion and apoptosis of cervical cancer SiHa cells. *J Int Oncol.* 2021;12:206-211.
- Nisa K, Nurhayati S, Apriyana W, Indrianingsih AW. Investigation of total phenolic and flavonoid contents, and evaluation of antimicrobial and antioxidant activities from *Baeckea frutescens* extracts. *IOP Conf Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 2017;101:012002. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/101/1/012002

- Razmavar S, Abdulla MA, Ismail SB, Hassandarvish P. Antibacterial activity of leaf extracts of *Baeckea frutescens* against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *BioMed Res Int.* 2014: 521287. doi:10.1155/2014/521287
- Hwang JK, Shim JS, Chung JY. Anticariogenic activity of some tropical medicinal plants against *Streptococcus mutans*. *Fitoterapia*. 2004;75(6):596-598. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2004.05.006
- Wan N, Kou P, Pang HY, et al. Enzyme pretreatment combined with ultrasonic-microwave-assisted surfactant for simultaneous extraction of essential oil and flavonoids from *Baeckea frutescens*. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2021;174:114173. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2021. 114173
- Yu QW, Wang H, Hou JT, et al. Suppression of *Baeckea frutescens* L. and its components on MyD88-dependent NF-κB pathway in MALP-2-stimulated RAW264.7 cells. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2017; 207(31):92-99. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2017.05.034
- Saad HM, Rahman SNSA, Navanesan S, et al. Evaluation of antioxidant activity and phytochemical composition of *Baeckea frutescens* and *Leptospermum javanicum* essential oils. *S Afr J Bot.* 2021; 141:474479. doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2021.06.005
- Lin X, Wang H, Zhang J, Lin Z, Ming Y, An X. Screening of prostaglandin E2 receptor 4 antagonist from active compounds of *Baeckea frutescens* L. and its anti-rheumatoid arthritis effect *in vivo*. *J China Pharm Univ.* 2021;6:92-99.