

# Pesticidal Activities of *Callicarpa* and *Premna* Essential Oils From Vietnam

Natural Product Communications  
Volume 17(6): 1–9  
© The Author(s) 2022  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-permissions  
DOI: 10.1177/1934578X221110660  
journals.sagepub.com/home/npx



Nguyen Huy Hung<sup>1,2</sup>, Do Ngoc Dai<sup>3,4</sup>, Truong Nguyen Cong<sup>4</sup>,  
Nguyen Anh Dung<sup>5</sup>, Le Duy Linh<sup>5</sup>, Vo Van Hoa<sup>2</sup>, Tran Thu Hien<sup>2</sup>,  
Nguyen Thi Hong Chuong<sup>1</sup>, Vu Thi Hien<sup>6</sup>, Bui Van Nguyen<sup>7</sup> and  
William N. Setzer<sup>8,9</sup>

## Abstract

Mosquito-borne diseases are a consistent problem in Vietnam. Additionally, freshwater snail species are agricultural pests and are known to be intermediate hosts for several parasitic worms. There is a need for new and complementary botanical pesticidal agents for controlling these pests and essential oils have shown promise. In this work, essential oils from 2 species of *Callicarpa* (*C. rubella* and *C. sinuata*) and 4 species of *Premna* (*P. chevalieri*, *P. corymbosa*, *P. maclurei*, and *P. tomentosa*) were screened for mosquito larvicidal activity against *Aedes albopictus* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* and for molluscicidal activity against 3 freshwater snail species, *Gyraulus convexiusculus*, *Pomacea canaliculata*, and *Tarebia granifera*. *Callicarpa rubella* essential oil showed exceptional larvicidal activity against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 9.8 µg/mL. In addition to *C. rubella*, the essential oils of *P. chevalieri* and *P. tomentosa* showed notable molluscicidal activities against *P. canaliculata* with LC<sub>90</sub> values ≤ 20 µg/mL. These *Callicarpa* and *Premna* essential oils were all rich in sesquiterpenes and should be considered for continued investigation as botanical pesticidal agents.

## Keywords

molluscicidal, larvicidal, lamiaceae, sesquiterpenes, essential oils

Received: April 29th, 2022; Accepted: June 9th, 2022.

## Introduction

Synthetic pesticides have played an important role in controlling mosquitos and snails for decades. However, synthetic pesticides are harmful to the environment and human health, the most serious is the development of pesticide resistant target organism populations.<sup>1–4</sup> In recent years, essential oils have emerged as a biodegradable, nontoxic, and environmentally friendly source of pesticides.<sup>5,6</sup> Essential oils are rich and complex in chemical composition, which make it difficult for target organisms to become resistant to the essential oils.<sup>6,7</sup>

Some freshwater snail species are important as intermediate hosts that cause diseases in humans or animals. *Pomacea canaliculata* (Lamarck) is native to South America.<sup>8</sup> In many Southeast Asian countries, *P. canaliculata* is of particular interest as a troublesome invasive species and considered one of the most harmful pests to rice. Moreover, *P. canaliculata* is an intermediate host for human parasites such as *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*, which leads to eosinophilic meningitis,<sup>9</sup> *Gnathostoma spinigerum*, which is the cause of gnathostomiasis,<sup>10</sup> and *Angiostrongylus vasorum* which leads to eosinophilic encephalitis,<sup>11</sup> and the intestinal fluke *Echinostoma ilocanum*.<sup>12</sup>

*Gyraulus convexiusculus* (Hutton) is an intermediate host for several trematode parasites<sup>13,14</sup> including *Echinostoma revolutum*, *Australapatemon burti*,<sup>15</sup> *Artyfechinostomum malayanum* (intestinal

flukes),<sup>16</sup> *Sanguinicola armata* (blood fluke),<sup>17</sup> and *Cercaria* sp.<sup>18</sup> In addition, *G. convexiusculus* is an intermediate host of *Oliveria indica*, an amphistome parasite.<sup>19</sup>

*Tarebia granifera* (Lamarck) is a host of several parasitic trematodes including *Paragonimus westermani* (the oriental lung fluke) as well as the intestinal flukes *Haplorchis taichui*,<sup>20</sup> *Centrocestus*

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Research and Development, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, Viet Nam

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Natural Sciences, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, Viet Nam

<sup>3</sup>Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Fishery, Nghe An College of Economics, Vinh City, Nghe An Province, Vietnam

<sup>5</sup>School of Natural Science Education, Vinh University, Vinh City, Nghe An Province, Vietnam

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Hydrometeorology, Ho Chi Minh City University of Natural Resources and Environment, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<sup>7</sup>University of Khanh Hoa, Nha Trang, Khanh Hoa, Viet Nam

<sup>8</sup>Aromatic Plant Research Center, Lehi, UT, USA

<sup>9</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL, USA

## Corresponding Author:

William N. Setzer, Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA.  
Email: wsetzer@chemistry.uah.edu



*formosanus*, and *Haplorchis pumilio*.<sup>21</sup> This species is also considered to be an intermediate host of *Philophthalmus gralli*, which is the cause of oriental eye-fluke in birds.<sup>22</sup>

The Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) is distributed throughout the world. This species acts as a vector of viruses such as the dengue viruses, chikungunya virus,<sup>23</sup> and Zika virus.<sup>24</sup> The mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) is one of mosquito vectors transmitting lymphatic filariasis, including Saint Louis encephalitis virus,<sup>25</sup> West Nile virus,<sup>26</sup> and Zika virus.<sup>27</sup> Lymphatic filariasis affects over 120 million people in 81 countries throughout the tropics and sub-tropics of Asia, Africa, the western Pacific, and parts of the Caribbean and South America; and an estimated 1.34 billion live in areas where filariasis is endemic and are at risk of infection.<sup>28</sup>

The essential oil compositions and larvicidal activities against *Aedes aegypti* have been reported for *Callicarpa rubella*, *C. sinuata*,<sup>29</sup> *Premna chevalieri*, *P. corymbosa*, *P. maclurei*, and *P.*

*tomentosa*.<sup>30</sup> In this present work, we have extended the pesticidal bioassays to include mosquito larvicidal activities against *Aedes albopictus* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* as well as molluscicidal activities against 3 freshwater snail species, *Gyraulus convexiusculus*, *Pomacea canaliculata*, and *Tarebia granifera*. In addition, we have screened these essential oils for insecticidal activity against the non-target water bug, *Diplonychus rusticus*.

## Results and Discussion

### Essential Oil Compositions

The major components of the essential oils for the *Callicarpa* and *Premna* species, previously published, are summarized in Table 1.

### Mosquito Larvicidal Activity

The *C. rubella*, *C. sinuata*, *P. chevalieri*, *P. corymbosa*, *P. maclurei*, and *P. tomentosa* leaf essential oils were screened for mosquito larvicidal activity against *Aedes albopictus* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Table 2). In addition, the essential oils were tested for insecticidal activity on the non-target water bug, *Diplonychus rusticus* (Figure 1).

Dias and Moraes<sup>31</sup> have suggested that essential oils with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> less than 100 µg/mL should be considered to be “active” while LC<sub>50</sub> above 100 µg/mL should be “inactive.” Using this criterion, all of the *Callicarpa* and *Premna* essential oils could be considered to be active. Based on our experience,<sup>29,30,32,33</sup> however, we suggest amending the definition of active larvicidal essential oils. Essential oils with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> <10 µg/mL should be considered “exceptionally active,” those with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> between 10 µg/mL and 50 µg/mL “very active,” those with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> between 50 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL “moderately active, and LC<sub>50</sub> > 100 µg/mL are “inactive.” In this case, *C. rubella* leaf essential oil is exceptionally active against *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, but only marginally active on *Ae. albopictus* larvae. In a previous study, *C. rubella* essential oil showed a 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 26.0 µg/mL (*ie*, very active) against *Ae. aegypti*.<sup>29</sup>

In addition to the breakdown based on lethality of the essential oils against the target organisms, we can propose a “selectivity index” (SI, see Table 2) based on the lethality of each essential oil against target organisms compared to its lethality against non-targets (in this case, *D. rusticus*). That is, not only are larvicidal activities important, but also selectivity for the target species is also important. In this study, *C. rubella* essential oil showed the best overall selective lethality on *Cx. quinquefasciatus* compared to *D. rusticus*. Of course, the selectivity index will depend on the non-target organism(s) chosen and it remains to be determined what constitutes a “good SI.”

Most of the *Callicarpa* and *Premna* essential oils in this study were dominated by sesquiterpenes. It is tempting to suggest that sesquiterpenes are responsible for the larvicidal activities observed. The major sesquiterpene components in *C. rubella*

**Table 1.** Major Components of the Leaf Essential Oils of *Callicarpa* and *Premna* Species From Vietnam<sup>a</sup>.

Plant essential oil	Major components	Ref
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>	β-Pinene (34.2%), caryophyllene oxide (20.1%), α-pinene (8.1%), myrtenal (6.8%)	29
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>	α-Humulene (53.8%), α-selinene (12.8%), humulene epoxide II (8.1%)	29
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	(E)-Caryophyllene (18.0%), α-cubebene (17.4%), δ-cadinene (4.6%), bicyclogermacrene (4.6%), α-copaene (4.6%)	29
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	α-Humulene (24.8%), α-copaene (12.6%), humulene epoxide II (6.7%), spathulenol (5.9%)	29
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	α-Copaene (23.3%), (E)-caryophyllene (12.8%), α-gurjunene (11.3%), δ-cadinene (5.5%)	30
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	(E)-Caryophyllene (31.5%), β-pinene (16.8%), α-pinene (12.2%), α-humulene (7.5%), caryophyllene oxide (5.3%)	30
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	allo-Aromadendrene (39.7%), (E)-caryophyllene (13.3%), α-copaene (8.1%)	30
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	(E)-Caryophyllene (30.7%), caryophyllene oxide (12.3%), δ-cadinene (8.4%), spathulenol (6.8%), α-humulene (5.3%)	30
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	Bicyclogermacrene (11.9%), (E)-nerolidol (7.5%), germacrene D (5.6%), viridiflorol (5.6%)	30
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	α-Pinene (66.9%), (E)-caryophyllene (14.7%)	30
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	(E)-Caryophyllene (22.0%), germacrene D (11.4%), ledol (6.1%), α-selinene (5.5%), α-gurjunene (5.2%), trans-β-elemene (5.0%)	30

<sup>a</sup>Photographs and botanical descriptions of the plants described in this study are shown in Supplemental Table S1.

**Table 2.** Mosquito Larvicidal Activities of *Callicarpa* and *Premna* Essential Oils<sup>a</sup>.

Plant essential oil	LC <sub>50</sub> (fiducial limits)	LC <sub>90</sub> (fiducial limits)	$\chi^2$	P	SI <sup>b</sup>
<i>Aedes albopictus</i> , 24-h					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>	33.00 (30.49-35.80)	48.40 (44.64-53.38)	1.36	.715	---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>	37.70 (34.48-41.16)	60.31 (53.89-70.42)	1.61	.807	---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	50.48 (43.91-58.98)	157.9 (123.1-223.2)	7.57	.056	2.7
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	41.26 (37.78-45.46)	64.66 (57.86-74.37)	0.909	.823	2.6
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	46.61 (43.44-50.42)	64.19 (59.02-71.86)	0.679	.878	1.7
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	75.38 (65.47-89.81)	202.1 (154.4-304.0)	8.34	.080	---
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	45.88 (42.67-49.76)	64.26 (58.95-72.06)	1.99	.575	2.5
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	94.72 (86.13-105.17)	154.1 (138.8-175.4)	20.3	.000	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	37.70 (34.48-41.16)	60.31 (53.89-70.42)	1.61	.807	2.2
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	25.67 (23.20-28.40)	51.11 (44.51-61.22)	2.94	.569	5.1
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	39.23 (36.52-42.22)	54.97 (51.04-60.24)	0.958	.812	2.7
Permethrin control	0.0024 (0.0021-0.0026)	0.0042 (0.0038-0.0049)	4.64	.031	---
<i>Culex quinquefasciatus</i> , 24-h					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	9.842 (8.649-11.158)	26.61 (22.22-33.77)	15.9	.003	13.7
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	46.67 (41.24-53.29)	123.5 (100.8-162.5)	2.02	.569	2.3
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	41.64 (36.82-47.58)	110.0 (89.9-143.8)	42.8	.000	---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	75.89 (69.19-84.05)	123.7 (111.7-140.3)	11.3	.010	1.0
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	37.07 (33.88-40.83)	59.61 (54.05-67.37)	8.89	.031	3.1
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	67.53 (58.83-79.63)	184.2 (142.7-267.0)	0.245	.970	2.0
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	26.67 (27.02-32.56)	53.39 (47.16-62.96)	3.23	.520	3.1
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	43.10 (39.60-47.19)	65.73 (59.94-73.77)	9.02	.061	3.0
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	52.03 (47.81-57.33)	79.05 (70.99-90.26)	9.47	.024	2.1
Permethrin control	0.0165 (0.0149-0.0181)	0.0305 (0.0266-0.0367)	5.24	.073	---
<i>Diplonychus rusticus</i> , 24-h					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	134.4 (124.8-145.0)	214.7 (198.0-237.0)	38.1	.000	---
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	105.5 (96.3-116.6)	164.7 (149.2-185.8)	12.6	.006	---
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	79.12 (76.60-81.94)	91.40 (87.66-97.26)	10.6	.014	---
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	116.1 (106.4-127.7)	176.2 (160.4-197.4)	19.5	.000	---
<i>Premna maclurei</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	82.90 (80.54-85.77)	92.68 (89.23-97.94)	0.00064	.98	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	130.0 (124.7-135.4)	158.0 (151.1-167.1)	0.797	.85	---
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	107.2 (97.8-118.6)	169.6 (153.7-191.3)	23.4	.000	---
<i>Aedes albopictus</i> , 48-h					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>	31.64 (29.14-34.47)	47.59 (43.72-52.74)	2.53	.469	---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>	30.41 (27.78-33.36)	51.36 (45.33-61.13)	3.88	.422	---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	45.14 (39.30-52.48)	143.7 (113.0-199.8)	8.18	.042	2.6
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	37.10 (33.44-41.49)	64.23 (58.19-72.75)	1.91	.591	2.3
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	62.94 (55.82-72.05)	149.5 (121.5-201.3)	3.27	.514	---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	45.65 (42.53-49.35)	63.24 (58.21-70.58)	0.407	.939	1.4
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	35.57 (32.65-38.93)	55.47 (50.66-62.03)	3.81	.282	2.7
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	72.27 (65.22-80.65)	126.0 (112.9-144.7)	14.0	.003	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	35.04 (32.06-38.32)	56.43 (50.27-66.17)	4.92	.296	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	23.79 (21.51-26.32)	47.23 (41.16-56.51)	2.54	.638	5.0
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	36.21 (33.60-39.09)	51.84 (48.02-56.89)	0.859	.835	1.8
<i>Culex quinquefasciatus</i> , 48-h					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	4.495 (3.995-5.003)	8.983 (7.760-11.073)	21.6	.000	25.7
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	35.48 (31.39-40.23)	95.27 (79.00-121.90)	10.7	.013	2.4
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	20.78 (18.74-23.02)	42.37 (36.84-50.83)	4.13	.388	---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	56.63 (50.17-64.32)	113.3 (100.3-131.9)	27.9	.000	1.2
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	30.95 (27.95-34.36)	54.13 (48.83-61.53)	15.8	.001	3.1

(Continued)

Table 2. Continued

Plant essential oil	LC <sub>50</sub> (fiducial limits)	LC <sub>90</sub> (fiducial limits)	$\chi^2$	P	SI <sup>b</sup>
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	43.14 (36.81-51.60)	175.1 (129.3-268.5)	16.1	.001	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	23.75 (21.33-26.42)	51.48 (44.47-62.17)	2.95	.566	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	32.77 (29.27-36.79)	77.55 (65.63-96.40)	12.18	.016	3.6
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	46.20 (41.76-51.55)	76.50 (69.33-86.79)	16.7	.001	1.4
		<i>Diplonychus rusticus</i> , 48-h			
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	115.6 (106.1-125.8)	200.0 (183.7-221.9)	21.9	.000	---
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	84.28 (76.60-93.67)	137.3 (123.5-156.8)	10.4	.016	---
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	65.80 (63.15-68.29)	77.16 (74.28-80.99)	0.00466	1.000	---
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	96.77 (87.65-107.75)	162.9 (146.6-185.3)	17.8	.001	---
<i>Premna maclurei</i>		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)		Not tested <sup>c</sup>			---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	117.8 (112.1-123.8)	154.8 (145.1-168.9)	6.60	.086	---
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	66.46 (60.71-73.07)	107.1 (97.5-120.3)	7.94	.047	---

<sup>a</sup>Data are presented as LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values with 95% confidence limits (log-probit analysis) obtained from 6 independent experiments carried out in quadruplicate, after 24-h and 48-h of treatment.

<sup>b</sup>SI = selectivity index = LC<sub>50</sub> (non-target species)/LC<sub>50</sub> (mosquito larvae).

<sup>c</sup>Not tested due to insufficient essential oil.

essential oil were (*E*)-caryophyllene (18.0%) and  $\alpha$ -cubebene (17.4%). The high concentration of (*E*)-caryophyllene in *C. rubella* oil cannot account for the exceptional larvicidal activity of that essential oil on *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, however. The sesquiterpenes (*E*)-caryophyllene,  $\alpha$ -humulene, and caryophyllene oxide showed only weak activity against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> values of 161, 108, and 99  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively (see Supplemental Table S2).<sup>34</sup> Nevertheless, (*E*)-caryophyllene was present in most essential oils with strong larvicidal activity.<sup>7</sup> Essential oils characterized by (*E*)-caryophyllene and caryophyllene oxide exhibited larvicidal activity against *Ae. aegypti*.<sup>35,36</sup> *Piper purusianum* essential oil is characterized by (*E*)-caryophyllene,  $\alpha$ -humulene, and germacrene D, and has shown strong larvicidal activity against *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*.<sup>37</sup> The unripe fruit peel of *Hymenaea courbaril* essential oil was characterized by germacrene D (31.9%) and (*E*)-caryophyllene (27.1%) and exhibited strong larvicidal activity against *Ae. aegypti*.<sup>38</sup> Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons and oxygenated sesquiterpenoids dominated the essential oil of *Callicarpa candicans*, which showed exceptional mosquito larvicidal activities against *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (24-h LC<sub>50</sub> = 2.7 and 1.2  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively).<sup>29</sup> Interestingly, *Premna flavescens*, with 92.2% sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, was relatively inactive against *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* larvae with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> values of 64.7 and 90.0  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively.<sup>30</sup> Apparently, synergistic and/or antagonistic effects of essential oil components play a role in the larvicidal activities.<sup>39</sup>

*Callicarpa nudiflora* essential oil was dominated by  $\beta$ -pinene (34.2%) and the very good larvicidal activity of *C. nudiflora* against *Ae. albopictus* (24-h LC<sub>50</sub> = 33.00  $\mu$ g/mL) can be attributed to the larvicidal activity of  $\beta$ -pinene against *Ae. albopictus* (24-h LC<sub>50</sub> = 8.51  $\mu$ g/mL, see Supplemental Table S2). Likewise, *P. mekongensis* essential oil from Ngoc Linh, an


$\alpha$ -pinene-rich chemotype (66.9%  $\alpha$ -pinene) also showed good larvicidal activity against *Ae. albopictus* with 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 25.67  $\mu$ g/mL and SI of 5.1. Essential oils are complex mixtures of many compounds, and synergistic effects between the essential oil components are likely responsible for the enhanced larvicidal activities compared to the individual components that were tested. Essential oils containing high levels of  $\alpha$ -pinene showed strong larvicidal activity against *Culex pipiens*<sup>40-42</sup> and *Ae. albopictus*.<sup>43</sup>

### Molluscicidal Activity

The *Callicarpa* and *Premna* leaf oils were screened for molluscicidal activity against the freshwater snails, *Gyraulus convexusculus*, *Pomacea canaliculata*, and *Tarebia granifera* (Table 3).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has defined "active" molluscicidal botanicals as those that have LC<sub>90</sub>  $\leq$  20  $\mu$ g/mL.<sup>44</sup> Based on this criterion, *P. chevalieri* essential oil was active against *G. convexusculus* and *P. canaliculata*, while *C. rubella* and *P. tomentosa* essential oils were active against *P. canaliculata*. In addition to these lethality data, *C. rubella*, *P. chevalieri*, and *P. tomentosa* essential oils were relatively selective for *P. canaliculata* compared to the non-target water bug with selectivity indices > 10.

In contrast to larvicidal activities, (*E*)-caryophyllene,  $\alpha$ -humulene, and caryophyllene oxide have shown molluscicidal LC<sub>90</sub> values around 20  $\mu$ g/mL on *P. canaliculata* (see Supplemental Table S3),<sup>34</sup> and likely contributed to the molluscicidal activities of *C. rubella*, *P. chevalieri*, and *P. tomentosa* essential oils against *P. canaliculata*. The sesquiterpenoid-rich *P. mekongensis* essential oil (from Chu Mom Ray) showed excellent molluscicidal activity against *G. convexusculus* and *P. canaliculata* with LC<sub>90</sub> values of 12.10 and 11.61  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively, and SI values of 9.0 and 17.1, respectively. The role of



**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the main compounds of essential oils *Callicarpa* and *Premna* species from Vietnam.

sesquiterpenoids in molluscicidal activity has also been observed in previous studies. The essential oil of *Xylopia langsdorffiana*, characterized by germacrene D (22.9%), trans- $\beta$ -guaiene (22.6%), and

(E)-caryophyllene (15.7%), exhibited strong molluscicidal activity against *Biomphalaria glabrata* with an  $LC_{50}$  value of 5.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ .<sup>45</sup> *Schinus terebinthifolius* essential oil, containing sabinene (14.9%),



**Table 3.** Molluscicidal Activities of *Callicarpa* and *Premna* Essential Oils<sup>a</sup>.

Plant essential oil	LC <sub>50</sub> (fiducial limits)	LC <sub>90</sub> (fiducial limits)	$\chi^2$	P	SI <sup>b</sup>
<i>Gyraulus convexiusculus</i>					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>	17.60 (15.88-19.49)	35.45 (30.89-42.37)	0.261	.992	---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>	25.30 (23.25-27.54)	40.72 (36.40-47.47)	0.595	.964	---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	20.10 (18.12-22.29)	40.92 (35.51-49.34)	5.61	.061	5.2
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	14.69 (12.00-17.41)	39.23 (34.28-46.49)	16.8	.001	4.2
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	41.85 (38.14-45.89)	71.49 (63.17-84.97)	6.59	.159	---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	11.54 (10.47-12.70)	21.62 (19.05-25.50)	7.01	.072	4.2
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	20.73 (17.82-23.74)	44.38 (39.35-51.69)	2.05	.359	4.0
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	19.16 (17.16-21.41)	33.69 (30.14-38.92)	4.01	.261	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	9.190 (8.553-9.850)	12.10 (11.32-13.12)	0.322	.956	9.0
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	8.456 (7.460-9.536)	21.84 (18.53-26.99)	11.64	.020	15.4
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	27.89 (24.83-31.47)	54.39 (48.46-62.69)	16.9	.001	3.1
<i>Pomacea canaliculata</i>					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>	Not tested <sup>c</sup>				---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>	Not tested <sup>c</sup>				---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	16.33 (15.18-17.78)	21.14 (19.42-23.74)	0.371	.831	10.2
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	34.86 (32.41-37.68)	44.31 (41.07-48.77)	9.39	.009	3.7
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	15.89 (14.64-17.46)	21.59 (19.65-24.53)	23.1	.000	---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	6.018 (5.518-6.684)	8.803 (7.865-10.431)	0.169	.919	10.4
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	28.67 (26.50-31.60)	39.00 (35.31-44.88)	1.59	.451	4.5
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	Not tested <sup>c</sup>				---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	4.844 (4.222-5.473)	11.61 (9.88-14.43)	0.285	.963	17.1
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	11.84 (10.64-13.16)	23.82 (20.63-28.89)	39.63	.000	11.0
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	10.34 (9.68-10.95)	13.01 (12.33-13.90)	0.0217	.999	13.0
<i>Tarebia granifera</i>					
<i>Callicarpa nudiflora</i>	37.08 (33.52-41.10)	74.21 (64.31-89.66)	5.67	.225	---
<i>Callicarpa petelotii</i>	24.13 (21.55-27.02)	57.24 (48.87-70.10)	6.75	.150	---
<i>Callicarpa rubella</i>	47.54 (42.72-53.05)	87.06 (78.47-98.68)	13.1	.004	2.5
<i>Callicarpa sinuata</i>	26.72 (23.46-30.45)	79.80 (65.47-103.53)	23.1	.000	2.1
<i>Premna cambodiana</i>	Not tested <sup>c</sup>				---
<i>Premna chevalieri</i>	35.90 (32.54-39.89)	60.74 (54.65-69.34)	5.93	.115	1.5
<i>Premna corymbosa</i>	50.28 (45.92-55.45)	80.03 (72.66-90.06)	9.05	.029	2.2
<i>Premna maclurei</i>	57.68 (53.04-63.31)	84.18 (76.73-94.45)	8.55	.036	---
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Chu Mom Ray)	29.45 (26.05-33.43)	80.85 (67.09-102.89)	11.54	.021	2.8
<i>Premna mekongensis</i> (Ngoc Linh)	80.69 (73.51-87.96)	145.2 (130.3-166.8)	18.20	.006	1.6
<i>Premna tomentosa</i>	32.14 (28.99-35.79)	56.43 (50.73-64.44)	2.89	.409	3.0

<sup>a</sup>Data are presented as LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values with 95% confidence limits (log-probit analysis) obtained from 5 independent experiments carried out in quadruplicate, after 24-h of treatment with an additional 24-h recovery time.

<sup>b</sup>SI = selectivity index = LC<sub>50</sub> (non-target species)/LC<sub>50</sub> (snails).

<sup>c</sup>Not tested due to insufficient essential oil.

$\gamma$ -elemene (13.2%), and  $\beta$ -elemene (6.6%), was active against *Theba pisana* with an LC<sub>50</sub> value of 8.36  $\mu$ g/mL. Meanwhile, *Vitex agnuscastus* essential oil consisting of (*E*)-caryophyllene (15.2%), 1,8-cineole (13.0%), and bicylogermacrene (7.3%) exhibited an LC<sub>50</sub> value of 20.72  $\mu$ g/mL.<sup>46</sup> Other studies that support this trend include the essential oil from the stem bark of *Ocotea bracteosa*<sup>47</sup> and the leaf essential oil of *Eugenia uniflora*.<sup>48</sup>

## Materials and Methods

### Isolation of Essential Oils

The essential oils were extracted from the fresh leaves of the plants by hydrodistillation. The leaves were shredded and hydrodistilled using a Clevenger type apparatus (Witeg Labortechnik). The essential oils were dried over anhydrous

Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and stored in sealed glass vials at 4 °C until used for analysis and bioactivity assays.

### Preparation of Test Solutions

For all experiments, a 1% stock solution of the essential oils was prepared by dissolving each essential oil using ethanol. Different volumes of stock solution were introduced into test beakers containing 150 mL of distilled water to obtain test solutions with the desired concentrations.

### Mosquito Larvicidal Assays

Adult *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes were maintained continuously under laboratory conditions such as relative humidity 75%, temperature 25  $\pm$  2 °C, cycles 12-h light and 12-h dark. *Aedes*

mosquito larvae were reared in plastic containers and were fed on Koi fish food, the water in the plastic containers was renewed daily with tap water overnight. The eggs and first and early second instar larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus* were collected in wild environments such as tires and ditches. The larvae were fed on the ripe fruit of *Ficus racemosa* until reaching the third and early fourth instar.

The essential oils were screened for larvicidal activity against *Ae. albopictus* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* as described previously<sup>32</sup>: 4 replicates, 20 larvae third and early fourth instar each, with essential oil concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6, and 3 µg/mL, permethrin positive control, larvicidal effects assessed after 24 and 48 h, lethality data analyzed by log-probit<sup>49</sup> analysis to obtain LC<sub>50</sub>, LC<sub>90</sub>, and 95% confidence limits using Minitab® 19 (Minitab, LLC).

### Insecticidal Assay

Adults of *Diplonychus rusticus* (water bug) were collected from the wild, maintained in glass tanks (60 cm long × 50 cm wide) with a water depth of 20 cm under the same conditions for other organisms. *Eichhornia crassipes* plants were introduced into the tanks to help the water bug maintain its behaviors.

The essential oils were screened for insecticidal activity against the non-target water bug, *Diplonychus rusticus* as previously described<sup>50</sup>: Quadruplicate assays, 20 adult insects each, essential oil concentrations of 200, 150, 100, 75, 50, and 25 µg/mL, insect lethality assessed after 24 and 48 h, log-probit analysis.

### Molluscicidal Assays

The *Premna* and *Callicarpa* essential oils were screened for molluscicidal activity against *Gyraulus convexiusculus*, *Pomacea canaliculata*, and *Tarebia granifera* as previously described<sup>34</sup>: Quadruplicate assays, 20 snails each, essential oil concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.6, and 6 µg/mL, tea saponin positive control, 24-h treatment, followed by 24-h recovery in fresh water, lethality data analyzed by log-probit.

## Conclusions

Based on the pesticidal activity data presented in this report, we conclude that *C. rubella* essential oil could be an effective mosquito control agent for *Cx. quinquefasciatus* with limited environmental impact. In addition, the essential oils *C. rubella*, *P. chevalieri*, *P. mekongensis*, and *P. tomentosa* should be considered for control of the agricultural pest *P. canaliculata*. Additional research is needed to determine appropriate formulations to extend the lifetimes of the essential oils in the field as well as field trials to determine efficacy in the field as well as any detrimental environmental effects. These studies are currently being carried out in the laboratory of Nguyen Huy Hung. Additional studies are also necessary to examine more essential oil

components for biological activity, both individually and in mixtures to assess synergistic activities.

## Acknowledgments

W.N.S. participated in this work as part of the activities of the Aromatic Plant Research Center (APRC, <https://aromaticplant.org/>).


## Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was funded by NAFOSTED (Vietnam), grant number 106.03-2019.25.

## ORCID iD

William N. Setzer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3639-0528>

## Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

## References

- Hodgson E, Levi PE. Pesticides: an important but underused model for the environmental health sciences. *Environ Health Perspect.* 1996;104(Suppl. 1):97–106. doi:10.1289/ehp.96104s197
- Vontas J, Kioulos E, Pavlidi N, Morou E, della Torre A, Ranson H. Insecticide resistance in the major dengue vectors *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti*. *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* 2012;104(2):126–131. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2012.05.008
- Liu N. Insecticide resistance in mosquitoes: impact, mechanisms, and research directions. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 2015;60(1):537–559. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-020828
- Lam PKS, Whitfield PJ, Edge H. Resistance to *Millettia* molluscicide in *Biomphalaria glabrata*: a quantitative genetical approach. *Parasitology.* 1989;98(1):17–20. doi:10.1017/S0031182000059643
- Silva WJ, Dória GAA, Maia RT, et al. Effects of essential oils on *Aedes aegypti* larvae: alternatives to environmentally safe insecticides. *Bioresour Technol.* 2008;99(8):3251–3255. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.05.064
- Pereira LPLA, Ribeiro ECG, Brito MCA, et al. Essential oils as molluscicidal agents against schistosomiasis transmitting snails - A review. *Acta Trop.* 2020;209:105489. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105489
- Pavela R. Essential oils for the development of eco-friendly mosquito larvicides: a review. *Ind Crop Prod.* 2015;76:174–187.
- Cowie RH, Thiengo SC. The apple snails of the Americas (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Ampullariidae: *Asolene*, *Felipponea*, *Marisa*, *Pomacea*, *Pomella*): a nomenclatural and type catalog. *Malacologia.* 2003;45(1):41–100.
- Chen R, Tong Q, Zhang Y, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification: rapid detection of *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* infection in

- Pomacea canaliculata*. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2011;4(1):204. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-4-204
10. Komalamisra C, Nuamtanong S, Dekumyoy P. *Pila ampullacea* and *Pomacea canaliculata*, as new paratenic hosts of *Gnathostoma spinigerum*. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2009;40(2):243-246.
  11. Mozzer LR, Coaglio AL, Dracz RM, Ribeiro VMA, Lima WS. The development of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* (Baillet, 1866) in the freshwater snail *Pomacea canaliculata* (Lamarck, 1822). *J Helminthol*. 2015;89(6):755-759. doi:10.1017/S0022149X14000856
  12. Chobchuenchom W, Bhumiratana A. Isolation and characterization of pathogens attacking *Pomacea canaliculata*. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2003;19(9):903-906. doi:10.1023/B:WIBL.0000007312.97058.48
  13. Dung BT, Madsen H, The DT. Distribution of freshwater snails in family-based VAC ponds and associated waterbodies with special reference to intermediate hosts of fish-borne zoonotic trematodes in Nam Dinh province, Vietnam. *Acta Trop*. 2010;116(1):15-23. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2010.04.016
  14. Nguyen PTX, Van Hoang H, Dinh HTK, et al. Insights on food-borne zoonotic trematodes in freshwater snails in North and Central Vietnam. *Parasitol Res*. 2021;120(3):949-962. doi:10.1007/s00436-020-07027-1
  15. Doanh PN, Van Hien H, Dung BT, Loan HT. Infection status and molecular identification of digenetic cercariae in snails in Kim Son district, Ninh Binh province and Ba Vi district, Ha Noi. *Acad J Biol*. 2019;41(3):31-38. doi:10.15625/2615-9023/v41n3.13893
  16. Chai J-Y, Shin E-H, Lee S-H, Rim H-J. Foodborne intestinal flukes in Southeast Asia. *Korean J Parasitol*. 2009;47:S69-S102. doi:10.3347/kjp.2009.47.S.S69
  17. Shaharom-Harrison F, Seman NA, Suhairi M. Laboratory experimental infection of the freshwater snail *Gyraulus convexiusculus* (Hutton, 1849) and the bighead carp *Aristichthys nobilis* (Richardson, 1845) with the blood fluke *Sanguinicola armata* Plehn, 1905. In: Bondad-Reantaso MG, Jones JB, Corsin F, Aoki T, eds. *Diseases in Asian Aquaculture VII*. Fish Health Section, Asian Fisheries Society; 2011:63-72.
  18. Sanil NK, Janardanan KP. Furcocercous cercariae infecting freshwater snails in Malabar: two new species from *Lymnaea luteola* Lamarck and *Gyraulus convexiusculus* (Hutton). *J Parasitol Dis*. 2018;42(2):220-225. doi:10.1007/s12639-018-0987-x
  19. Thapar GS. The life history of *Olveria indica*, an amphistome parasite from the rumen of Indian cattle. *J Helminthol*. 1961;35(S1):179-186. doi:10.1017/S0022149X00017739
  20. Chuboon S, Wongsawad C, Wongsawad P. Molecular identification of trematode, *Haplorchis taichui* cercariae in *Tarebia granifera* snail using ITS2 sequences. *J Yala Rajabhat Univ*. 2013;8(1):22-30.
  21. Veeravechskij N, Namchote S, Neiber MT, Glaubrecht M, Kraïlas D. Exploring the evolutionary potential of parasites: larval stages of pathogen digenic trematodes in their thiarid snail host *Tarebia granifera* in Thailand. *Zoosystematics Evol*. 2018;94(2):425-460. doi:10.3897/ZSE.94.28793
  22. Mukaratirwa S, Hove T, Cindzi ZM, Maononga DB, Taruvinga M, Matenga E. First report of an outbreak of the oriental eye-fluke, *Philophthalmus gralli* (Mathis & Leger 1910), in commercially reared ostriches (*Struthio camelus*) in Zimbabwe. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res*. 2005;72(3):203-206. doi:10.4102/ojvr.v72i3.197
  23. Paupy C, Delatte H, Bagny L, Corbel V, Fontenille D. *Aedes albopictus*, an arbovirus vector: from the darkness to the light. *Microbes Infect*. 2009;11(14-15):1177-1185. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2009.05.005
  24. Chouin-Carneiro T, Vega-Rua A, Vazeille M, et al. Differential susceptibilities of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from the Americas to Zika virus. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10(3):e0004543. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004543
  25. Diaz LA, Ré V, Vázquez A, et al. Genotype III Saint Louis encephalitis virus outbreak, Argentina, 2005. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2006;12(11):1752-1754. doi:10.3201/eid1211.060486
  26. Hribar LJ, Vlach JJ, Demay DJ, et al. Mosquitoes infected with West Nile Virus in the Florida Keys, Monroe County, Florida, USA. *J Med Entomol*. 2003;40(3):361-363. doi:10.1603/0022-2585-40.3.361
  27. Franca RFO, Neves MHL, Ayres CFJ, Melo-Neto OP, Filho SPB. First international workshop on Zika virus held by Oswaldo Cruz Foundation FIOCRUZ in northeast Brazil March 2016 – A meeting report. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10(6):e0004760. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004760
  28. World Health Organization W. Progress Report 2000–2009 and Strategic Plan 2010–2020 of the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis: Halfway towards Eliminating Lymphatic Filariasis. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2010.
  29. Hung NH, Huong LT, Chung NT, et al. *Callicarpa* species from central Vietnam: essential oil compositions and mosquito larvicidal activities. *Plants*. 2020;9(1):113. doi:10.3390/plants9010113
  30. Hung NH, Huong LT, Chung NT, et al. *Premna* species in Vietnam: essential oil compositions and mosquito larvicidal activities. *Plants*. 2020;9(9):1130. doi:10.3390/plants9091130
  31. Dias CN, Moraes DFC. Essential oils and their compounds as *Aedes aegypti* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) larvicide: review. *Parasitol Res*. 2014;113:565-592. doi:10.1007/s00436-013-3687-6
  32. Hung NH, Satyal P, Do ND, et al. Chemical compositions of *Crassocephalum crepidioides* essential oils and larvicidal activities against *Aedes aegypti*, *Aedes albopictus*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Nat Prod Commun*. 2019;14(6):1934578X19850033. doi:10.1177/1934578X19850033
  33. Huong LT, Chung NT, Huong TT, et al. Essential oils of *Zingiber* species from Vietnam: chemical compositions and biological activities. *Plants*. 2020;9(10):1269. doi:10.3390/plants9101269
  34. Hung NH, Dai DN, Satyal P, et al. *Lantana camara* L. Essential oils from Vietnam: chemical composition, molluscicidal, and mosquito larvicidal activity. *Chem Biodivers*. 2021;18(5):e2100145. doi:10.1002/cbdv.202100145
  35. Arriaga ÂMC, Rodrigues FEA, Lemos TL, et al. Composition and antioxidant activity of essential oils of *Stemodia maritima* L. *Nat Prod Commun*. 2007;2(12):1237-1239.
  36. Mendes LA, Martins GF, Valbon WR, et al. Larvicidal effect of essential oils from Brazilian cultivars of guava on *Aedes aegypti* L. *Ind Crops Prod*. 2017;108:684-689. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.07.034



37. de Oliveira AC, Simões RC, Lima CAP, et al. Essential oil of *Piper purusianum* C.DC (Piperaceae) and its main sesquiterpenes: biodefensives against malaria and dengue vectors, without lethal effect on non-target aquatic fauna. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2022. doi:10.1007/s11356-022-19196-w
38. Aguiar JCD, Santiago GMP, Lavor PL, et al. Chemical constituents and larvicidal activity of *Hymenaea courbaril* fruit peel. *Nat Prod Commun.* 2010;5(12):1977-1980.
39. Andrade-Ochoa S, Sánchez-Aldana D, Chacón-Vargas KF, et al. Oviposition deterrent and larvicidal and pupaecidal activity of seven essential oils and their major components against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: culicidae): synergism – antagonism effects. *Insects.* 2018;9(1):25. doi:10.3390/insects9010025
40. Cetin H, Yanikoglu A, Cilek JE. Larvicidal activity of selected plant hydrodistillate extracts against the house mosquito, *Culex pipiens*, a West Nile virus vector. *Parasitol Res.* 2011;108(4):943-948. doi:10.1007/s00436-010-2136-z
41. Evergetis E, Michaelakis A, Kioulos E, Koliopoulos G, Haroutounian SA. Chemical composition and larvicidal activity of essential oils from six Apiaceae family taxa against the West Nile virus vector *Culex pipiens*. *Parasitol Res.* 2009;105(1):117-124. doi:10.1007/s00436-009-1370-8
42. Vourlioti-Arapi F, Michaelakis A, Evergetis E, Koliopoulos G, Haroutounian SA. Essential oils of indigenous in Greece six *Juniperus* taxa: chemical composition and larvicidal activity against the West Nile virus vector *Culex pipiens*. *Parasitol Res.* 2012;110(5):1829-1839. doi:10.1007/s00436-011-2706-8
43. Giatropoulos A, Pitarokili D, Papaioannou F, et al. Essential oil composition, adult repellency and larvicidal activity of eight Cupressaceae species from Greece against *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitol Res.* 2013;112(3):1113-1123. doi:10.1007/s00436-012-3239-5
44. World Health Organization W. UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases. Scientific working group on plant molluscicides. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/60086>. Published 1983. Accessed March 12, 2021.
45. Tavares JF, Silva MVB, Queiroga KF, et al. Composition and molluscicidal properties of essential oils from leaves of *Xylopia langsdorffiana* A. St. Hil. Et tul. (Annonaceae). *J Essent Oil Res.* 2007;19(3):282-284. doi:10.1080/10412905.2007.9699281
46. Saad MMG, Abou-Taleb HK. Chemical composition, fumigant toxicity and biochemical effects of essential oils on *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and *Theba pisana* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Helicidae). *Egypt J Plant Prot Res.* 2015;3(1):1-20.
47. Coutinho DF, Dias CS, Barbosa-Filho JM, et al. Composition and molluscicidal activity of the essential oil from the stem bark of *Ocotea bracteosa* (Meisn.) Mez. *J Essent Oil Res.* 2007;19(5):482-484. doi:10.1080/10412905.2007.9699958
48. Júnior GA, Núñez EGF, Santos RO, et al. Study of seasonality and location effects on the chemical composition of essential oils from *Eugenia uniflora* leaves. *J Med Plants Res.* 2021;15(7):321-329. doi:10.5897/jmpr2021.7135
49. Finney D. *Probit Analysis*. Reissue, ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
50. Hung NH, Dai DN, Satyal P, Chung NT, Van Nguyen B, Setzer WN. Chemical composition of essential oils from leaves of *Vitex negundo* L. growing in Viet Nam and larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* L. *Vietnam J Sci Technol.* 2020;58(6A):142-157. doi:10.15625/2525-2518/58/6A/15499