

Research Article

Nguyen Van Duc*, Dinh Nho Hào and Maxim Shishlenin

Regularization of backward parabolic equations in Banach spaces by generalized Sobolev equations

<https://doi.org/10.1515/jiip-2023-0046>

Received May 29, 2023; revised June 20, 2023; accepted June 20, 2023

Abstract: Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be an (possibly unbounded) operator that generates a uniformly bounded holomorphic semigroup. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$ are two given constants. The backward parabolic equation of finding a function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$u_t + Au = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad \|u(T) - \varphi\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for φ in X , is regularized by the generalized Sobolev equation

$$u_{\alpha t} + A_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad u_{\alpha}(T) = \varphi,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $A_{\alpha} = A(I + \alpha A^b)^{-1}$ with $b \geq 1$. Error estimates of the method with respect to the noise level are proved.

Keywords: Backward parabolic equations, ill-posed problems, regularization, Sobolev equation

MSC 2020: 35KXX

1 Introduction

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be an (possibly unbounded) operator that generates a uniformly bounded holomorphic semigroup. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$ are two given constants. Consider the backward parabolic equation of finding a function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_t + Au = 0, & 0 < t < T, \\ \|u(T) - \varphi\| \leq \varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for φ in X and the given positive noise level ε . This problem is well known to be severely ill-posed [21] and regularization methods for it are required. As we noted in [14], although there have been many papers devoted to backward parabolic equations in Hilbert spaces, there are very few ones devoted to those in Banach spaces. Among them we list the first work on this problem by Krein and Prozorovskaja [20], and then by Agmon and Nirenberg [1] and by Miller [23]. Regularization methods have been proposed in [2, 3, 8–10, 17–19, 22]. However, in these papers no convergence rate with respect to the noise level has been given. Some convergence rate of Hölder type has been established in [12] by a mollification method, and in [14] by the Tikhonov regularization method and by the non-local boundary value problem method, see also our related papers [6, 13, 15, 16]. Finally,

*Corresponding author: **Nguyen Van Duc**, Department of Mathematics, Vinh University, Vinh City, Vietnam, e-mail: ducnv@vinhuni.edu.vn

Dinh Nho Hào, Hanoi Institute of Mathematics, VAST, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam, e-mail: hao@math.ac.vn

Maxim Shishlenin, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics, 6 Lavrent'eva Prospect, Novosibirsk, Russia; and Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, 4 Koptyuga Prospect, Novosibirsk, Russia, e-mail: mshishlenin@ngs.ru

we note the paper by Chen, Hofmann and Zou [4] where the authors presented an approach to investigate the convergence and stability of a class of regularized solutions for ill-posed backward evolution equations associated with sectorial or half-strip operators. They introduced a concept of qualification pairs and index functions to characterize the explicit convergence rates of the concerned regularized solutions. Some convergence rates of logarithmic type can be found there.

In this paper, we regularize problem (1.1) by the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{at} + A_\alpha u_\alpha = 0, & 0 < t < T, \\ u_\alpha(T) = \varphi, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $A_\alpha = A(I + \alpha A^b)^{-1}$ with $b \geq 1$. We note that Ewing [7] and Showalter [25] (see also [11]) regularized problem (1.1) by the Sobolev equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{at} + Au_\alpha + \alpha A u_{at} = 0, & 0 < t < T, \\ u_\alpha(T) = \varphi, \end{cases}$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{cases} (I + \alpha A)u_{at} + Au_\alpha = 0, & 0 < t < T, \\ u_\alpha(T) = \varphi. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Applying $(I + \alpha A)^{-1}$ to the both sides of the first equation of (1.3), we get $u_{at} + (I + \alpha A)^{-1}Au_\alpha = 0$. Formally, we have $(I + \alpha A)^{-1}A = A(I + \alpha A)^{-1}$. Thus, we arrive at the equation $u_{at} + A(I + \alpha A)^{-1}u_\alpha = 0$. In this paper we slightly modify this method. Namely, we use $A_\alpha = A(I + \alpha A^b)^{-1}$ with $b \geq 1$ instead of $A(I + \alpha A)^{-1}$. That is why we call $u_{at} + A_\alpha u_\alpha = 0$ by *generalized Sobolev equation*. We note that our paper is close to those by Huang and his co-author [17, 18]. However, our method is different from theirs and we establish error estimates for our method, but they did not.

In the next section we will introduce some auxiliary results concerning the operator A_α . In the last section we present the main results on the well-posedness of problem (1.2) and convergence rates of u_α to the exact solution when the noise level ε tends to zero and the regularization parameter α is properly chosen.

2 Analytic semigroups generated by $A_\alpha = A(I + \alpha A^b)^{-1}$

Definition 2.1 ([5, p. 93]). We will call the (possibly unbounded) operator A , a generator if A generates a uniformly bounded strongly continuous holomorphic semigroup $\{e^{-zA}\}_{\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0}$. By switching to equivalent norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0} \|e^{-zA}x\|,$$

if necessary, we may assume that $\|e^{-zA}\| \leq 1$, whenever $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. For $s \geq 0$, define

$$G(s, A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 - \cos(sr)}{r^2} e^{irA} \frac{dr}{\pi}.$$

Remark 2.2 (see [5, Proposition 10]). The following inequality holds:

$$\|G(s, A)\| \leq s \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

Remark 2.3 (see [5, Theorem 12]). The functional calculus

$$f(A) = \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) \right) I + \int_0^\infty f''(s) G(s, A) ds$$

for

$$f \in AC^1[0, \infty) := \{h \circ g : h \in AC^1[0, 1]\},$$

where $g(t) = (1 + t)^{-1}$ and

$$AC^1[0, 1] = \{f : f' \text{ exists and is absolutely continuous on } [0, 1]\}.$$

Definition 2.4 ([24, p. 69] and [18, p. 42]). Let A be the generator of an holomorphic semigroup of angle θ (where $0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$), and let $0 \in \rho(A)$, the resolvent of A . For $b > 0$, the fractional power of A is defined by

$$A^{-b} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma(\gamma)} z^{-b} (A - zI)^{-1} dz.$$

Here, z^b is taken as the principle branch, and the path $\Gamma(\gamma)$, $\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta < \gamma < \pi$, connects the points $\infty e^{-i\gamma}$ and $\infty e^{i\gamma}$ in $\rho(A)$, while avoiding the negative real axis and the origin. Define $A^b = (A^{-b})^{-1}$ and $A^0 = I$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [18, p. 42]). *The following statements hold:*

- (a) $A^{-b} \in B(X)$ is injective for $b > 0$,
- (b) A^b is a closed operator and $D(A^b) \subset D(A^{b'})$ for $b > b' > 0$,
- (c) $A^b x = A^{(b-n)} A^n x$ for $x \in D(A^n)$ and $n > b$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (d) if $B \subset A^b$ and $D(B) = D(A^{b'})$ ($b' > b > 0$) then B is closable and $\bar{B} = A^b$, where \bar{B} is the closure of B .

Theorem 2.6. *The operator $A_\alpha = A(I + \alpha A^b)^{-1}$ generates a semigroup $\{e^{tA_\alpha}\}_{t \geq 0}$ and*

$$\|e^{tA_\alpha}\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{t\alpha^{-1}} & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1) \exp\left(t\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f(s) = \frac{s}{1+\alpha s^b}$. We have

$$f'(s) = \frac{1 + (1-b)\alpha s^b}{(1+\alpha s^b)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad f''(s) = \frac{bas^{b-1}((b-1)\alpha s^b - b - 1)}{(1+\alpha s^b)^3}.$$

If $b = 1$, then

$$A_\alpha = f(A) = \frac{1}{\alpha} I + \int_0^\infty \frac{-2\alpha}{(1+\alpha s)^3} G(s, A) ds.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|A_\alpha\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} + \int_0^\infty \frac{2\alpha s}{(1+\alpha s)^3} ds = \frac{2}{\alpha}.$$

Hence A_α is a bounded linear operator and it generates a semigroup $\{e^{tA_\alpha}\}_{t \geq 0}$. For $t > 0$, we have

$$e^{tA_\alpha} = e^{t\alpha^{-1}} I + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t^2}{(1+\alpha s)^4} - \frac{2t\alpha}{(1+\alpha s)^3} \right) e^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}} G(s, A) ds.$$

This implies that

$$\|e^{tA_\alpha}\| \leq e^{t\alpha^{-1}} + \int_0^\infty \frac{st^2 e^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^4} ds + \int_0^\infty \frac{2ts\alpha e^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^3} ds. \quad (2.1)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{st^2 e^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^4} ds &= \frac{ste^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^2} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{(1+\alpha s)^2} - \frac{2tas}{(1+\alpha s)^3} \right) e^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}} ds \\ &= -e^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}} \Big|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty \frac{2tase^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^3} ds \\ &= -e^{t\alpha^{-1}} + 1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{2tase^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^3} ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{tase^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^3} ds \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{\frac{ts}{1+\alpha s}}}{(1+\alpha s)^2} ds = e^{t\alpha^{-1}} - 1, \quad (2.2)$$

from (2.1)–(2.2) we obtain

$$\|e^{tA_\alpha}\| \leq 4e^{t\alpha^{-1}}.$$

If $b > 1$, then

$$A_\alpha = \int_0^\infty \frac{bas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3} G(s, A) ds.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\alpha\| &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{bas^{b-1}|(b-1)as^b - b - 1|}{(1 + as^b)^3} s ds \\ &= - \int_0^a \frac{bas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3} s ds + \int_a^\infty \frac{bas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3} s ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $a = \left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^a \frac{bas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3} s ds &= -s \frac{1 + (1-b)as^b}{(1 + as^b)^2} \Big|_0^a + \int_0^a \frac{1 + (1-b)as^b}{(1 + as^b)^2} ds \\ &= -\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}} \cdot \frac{-b}{\left(1 + \frac{b+1}{b-1}\right)^2} + \frac{s}{1 + as^b} \Big|_0^a \\ &= \frac{b-1}{2} \left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{bas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3} s ds = \frac{b-1}{2} \left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, from (2.3)–(2.4) we attain

$$\|A_\alpha\| \leq (b-1) \left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}.$$

Therefore, A_α is a bounded linear operator and it generates a semigroup $\{e^{tA_\alpha}\}_{t \geq 0}$.

For $t > 0$, let $f_1(s) = e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1'(s) &= \frac{t(1 + (1-b)as^b)}{(1 + as^b)^2} e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}}, \\ f_1''(s) &= \left(\frac{t^2(1 + (1-b)as^b)^2}{(1 + as^b)^4} + \frac{tbas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3}\right) e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore,

$$e^{tA_\alpha} = \int_0^\infty f_1''(s) G(s, A) ds. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\|e^{tA_\alpha}\| \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{st^2(1 + (1-b)as^b)^2}{(1 + as^b)^4} e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds + \int_0^\infty \frac{tbas^b|(b-1)as^b - b - 1|}{(1 + as^b)^3} e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{st^2(1 + (1-b)as^b)^2}{(1 + as^b)^4} e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds &= \frac{ste^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}}}{(1 + as^b)^2} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t(1 + (1-b)as^b)}{(1 + as^b)^2} + \frac{tbas^b((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3}\right) e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds \\ &= -e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} - \int_0^\infty \frac{tbas^b((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1 + as^b)^3} e^{\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{tA_\alpha}\| &\leq -2 \int_0^a \frac{tbas^b((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^a \frac{tb(b+1)as^b}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-\frac{ts}{1+as^b}} ds \\
&\leq 2b(b+1) \int_0^a te^{ts} ds \\
&\leq 2b(b+1) \exp\left(t\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. \square

3 Regularization and convergence rate

In this section, we suppose that the operator A satisfies the conditions in Definitions 2.1 and 2.4. We will prove that problem (1.2) is well posed and if we choose the regularization parameter α properly, then we get a convergence rate of u_α to u as the noise level tends to zero. In doing so we first prove some auxiliary results.

Lemma 3.1. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\|e^{-TA} e^{TA_\alpha}\| \leq \begin{cases} 8 + 2T + \frac{16}{T} & \text{if } b = 1, \\ \frac{4b^2}{b-1} & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f_2(s) = e^{-Ts} e^{-\frac{Ts}{1+as^b}} = e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}$. Then

$$f_2''(s) = \left(T^2 \left(\frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - 1\right)^2 + \frac{Tbas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1+as^b)^3}\right) e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$f_2(A) = e^{-TA} e^{TA_\alpha} = \int_0^\infty f_2''(s) G(s, A) ds.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_2(A)\| &\leq \int_0^\infty s |f_2''(s)| ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty s \left(T \frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - T\right)^2 e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds + \int_0^\infty \frac{bas^b |(b-1)as^b - b - 1|}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty s \left(T \frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - T\right)^2 e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds \\
&= s \left(T \frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - T\right) e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \left(\left(T \frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - T\right) + \frac{bas^b((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1+as^b)^3} \right) e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds \\
&= -e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \frac{Tbas^b((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_2(A)\| &\leq 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{Tas}{(1+as)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^2}{1+as}} ds \\
&= 4 \int_0^{\alpha^{-1/2}} \frac{Tas}{(1+as)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^2}{1+as}} ds + 4 \int_{\alpha^{-1/2}}^\infty \frac{Tas}{(1+as)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^2}{1+as}} ds \\
&\leq 4 \int_0^{\alpha^{-1/2}} Tas ds + 4 \int_{\alpha^{-1/2}}^\infty Tase^{-\frac{Ta^{1/2}s}{(1+\alpha^{1/2})}} ds \quad (\text{since } -\frac{as}{1+as} \leq -\frac{\alpha^{1/2}}{1+\alpha^{-1/2}} \text{ for all } s \geq \alpha^{-1/2}) \\
&\leq 2T + 4 \int_{\alpha^{-1/2}}^\infty Tase^{-\frac{Ta^{1/2}s}{2}} ds \\
&= 2T + \left(8 + \frac{16}{T}\right) e^{-\frac{T}{2}} \\
&\leq 8 + 2T + \frac{16}{T}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $b > 1$, then

$$\|f_2(A)\| \leq -2 \int_0^a \frac{Tbas^b((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds,$$

where $a = \left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}$. This implies that

$$\|f_2(A)\| \leq 2 \int_0^a \frac{Tb(b+1)as^b}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds.$$

We have

$$-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b} \leq -\frac{(b-1)Tas^{b+1}}{2b} \quad \text{for all } s < \left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|f_2(A)\| \leq 2 \int_0^a Tb(b+1)as^b e^{-\frac{(b-1)Tas^{b+1}}{2b}} ds \leq \frac{4b^2}{b-1}.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant C which only depends on T and b such that*

$$\|e^{-\beta A}(I - e^{-\gamma A}e^{\gamma A_\alpha})\| \leq C(\beta^{3-k} + \beta^{2-k} + \beta^{1-k})\alpha,$$

where $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$. Here, k is an integer satisfying $1 \leq k < b + 3$.

Proof. Let

$$f_3(s) = e^{-Ts}(1 - e^{-Ts}e^{\frac{Ts}{1+as^b}}).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_3'(s) &= \beta^2 e^{-\beta s}(1 - e^{-\gamma s}e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}}) - \frac{\gamma bas^{b-1}((b-1)as^b - b - 1)}{(1+as^b)} e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} \\
&\quad - \left(2\beta\gamma \left(\frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as)^2} - 1\right) + \gamma^2 \left(\frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as)^2} - 1\right)^2\right) e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$f_3(A) = e^{-TA}(I - e^{-TA}e^{TA_\alpha}) = \int_0^\infty f_3''(s)G(s, A) ds,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_3(A)\| &\leq \int_0^\infty s|f_3''(s)| ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} (1 - e^{-\gamma s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}}) ds + \int_0^\infty 2\beta \gamma s \left| \frac{1 + (1-b)as^b}{(1+as)^2} - 1 \right| e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 s \left(\frac{1 + (1-b)as^b}{(1+as)^2} - 1 \right)^2 e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma b a s^b |(b-1)as^b - b - 1|}{(1+as^b)} e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now we estimate the right-hand side of this inequality. We have

$$\int_0^\infty \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} (1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}) ds \leq \int_0^\eta \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} (1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}) ds + \int_\eta^\infty \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} ds, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\eta = ((\gamma + 1)\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{b+1}}$. Since $s \leq \eta$, we have $\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b} \leq 1$. This implies that

$$e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_0^\eta \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} (1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}) ds \leq \int_0^\eta 3\beta^2 \gamma s^{b+2} a e^{-\beta s} ds.$$

Taking integration by parts the right-hand side of this inequality $k - 1$ times, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\eta \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} (1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}) ds &\leq \int_0^\eta 3\beta^2 \gamma s^{b+2} a e^{-\beta s} ds \leq -3\beta \gamma a s^{b+2} e^{-\beta s} \Big|_0^\eta + \int_0^\eta 3\beta(b+2)\gamma s^{b+1} a e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\eta 3\beta(b+2)\gamma s^{b+1} a e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\eta 3\beta^{3-k}(b+2)(b+1)\cdots(b+3-k)\gamma s^{b+3-k} a e^{-\beta s} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Set $C_1 = (b+2)(b+1)b\cdots(b+3-k)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\eta \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} (1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}) ds &\leq 3C_1 \int_0^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \beta^{3-k} \gamma s^{b+3-k} a e^{-\beta s} ds + 3C_1 \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}^\eta \beta^{3-k} \gamma s^{b+3-k} a e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&\leq 3\gamma C_1 \beta^{3-k} a + 3C_1 \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}^\eta \beta^{3-k} \gamma s^{b+3-k} a e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&= 3\gamma C_1 \beta^{3-k} a - 3C_1 a \beta^{3-k} \gamma s^{b+3-k} e^{-\beta s} \Big|_{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}^\eta + 3C_1 \beta^{2-k} a \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}^\eta \gamma s^{b+2-k} e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&\leq 6\gamma C_1 \beta^{3-k} a + 3C_1 \beta^{2-k} a \gamma (1+\gamma)^{k-b-2} \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}^\eta e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&\leq 6\gamma C_1 \beta^{3-k} a + 3C_1 \beta^{1-k} a \gamma (1+\gamma)^{k-b-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (3.2):

$$\int_{\eta}^{\infty} \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} ds = -\beta s e^{-\beta s} \Big|_{\eta}^{\infty} + \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \beta e^{-\beta s} ds = \beta \eta e^{-\beta \eta} + e^{-\beta \eta}.$$

From

$$\beta \eta e^{-\beta \eta} \leq \frac{\beta \eta}{1 + \frac{(\beta \eta)^k}{k!}} \leq k! (\beta \eta)^{1-k} \leq k! \beta^{1-k} \alpha$$

and

$$e^{-\beta \eta} \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(\beta \eta)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}} \leq (k-1)! (\beta \eta)^{1-k} \leq (k-1)! \beta^{1-k} \alpha$$

there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$\int_{\eta}^{\infty} \beta^2 s e^{-\beta s} ds \leq C_2 \beta^{1-k} \alpha. \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we conclude that there exists a constant C_3 such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} T^2 s e^{-Ts} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{Tas^{b+1}}{1+as^b}}\right) ds \leq C_3 (\beta^{3-k} + \beta^{1-k}) \alpha.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\beta\gamma \int_0^{\infty} s \left| \frac{1 + (1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - 1 \right| e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{-\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds &= 2\beta\gamma \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(b+1)as^{b+1} + \alpha^2 s^{2b+1}}{(1+as^b)^2} e^{-\beta s} e^{-\frac{\gamma as^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds \\ &\leq 2\beta\gamma(b+2)\alpha \int_0^{\infty} s^{b+1} e^{-\beta s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking integration by parts $k-2$ times, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\beta\gamma \int_0^{\infty} s \left| \frac{1 + (1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - 1 \right| e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{-\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds &\leq 2\beta\gamma(b+2)\alpha \int_0^{\infty} s^{b+1} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &= -2\gamma(b+2)\alpha s^{b+1} e^{-\beta s} \Big|_0^{\infty} + 2\gamma(b+2)(b+1)\alpha \int_0^{\infty} s^b e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &= 2\gamma(b+2)(b+1)\alpha \int_0^{\infty} s^b e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &= 2\gamma\beta^{3-k}(b+2)(b+1)b \cdots (b+4-k)\alpha \int_0^{\infty} s^{b+3-k} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &= 2\gamma\beta^{3-k} C_4 \alpha \int_0^1 s^{b+3-k} e^{-\beta s} ds + 2\gamma\beta^{3-k} C_4 \alpha \int_1^{\infty} s^{b+3-k} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &\leq 2\gamma\beta^{3-k} C_4 \alpha - 2\gamma\beta^{2-k} C_4 \alpha s^{b+3-k} e^{-\beta s} \Big|_1^{\infty} \\ &\quad + 2\gamma\beta^{2-k} C_4 (b+3-k)\alpha \int_1^{\infty} s^{b+2-k} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &\leq 2\gamma\beta^{3-k} C_4 \alpha + 2\gamma\beta^{2-k} C_4 \alpha + 2\gamma\beta^{2-k} C_4 (b+3-k)\alpha \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &\leq 2\gamma\beta^{3-k} C_4 \alpha + 2\gamma\beta^{2-k} C_4 \alpha + 2\gamma\beta^{1-k} C_4 (b+3-k)\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_4 = (b+2)(b+1)b \cdots (b+4-k)$. Therefore

$$2\beta\gamma \int_0^\infty s \left| \frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - 1 \right| e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds \leq 2C_4\gamma(\beta^{3-k} + \beta^{2-k} + \beta^{1-k}(b+3-k))\alpha. \quad (3.5)$$

By a similar argument, we claim that there exist constants C_5 and C_6 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 s \left(\frac{1+(1-b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} - 1 \right)^2 e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds &= \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 s \left(\frac{(1+b)as^b + \alpha^2 s^{2b}}{(1+as^b)^2} \right)^2 e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+as^b}} ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 s \left\{ \left(\frac{(1+b)as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 s^{2b}}{(1+as^b)^2} \right)^2 \right\} e^{-\beta s} e^{\frac{-\gamma s^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 s \left\{ \frac{(1+b)^2 as^b}{(1+as^b)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 s^{2b}}{(1+as^b)^2} \right\} e^{-\beta s} e^{\frac{-\gamma s^{b+1}}{1+as^b}} ds \\ &\leq 4(b+1)^2 \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 s^{b+1} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &\leq C_5 \gamma^2 (\beta^{3-k} + \beta^{2-k} + \beta^{1-k}(b+3-k))\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma b a s^b |(b-1)as^b - b - 1|}{(1+as^b)^3} e^{-(\beta+\gamma)s} e^{\frac{\gamma s}{1+a}} ds &\leq 2\gamma b^2 \alpha \int_0^\infty s^b e^{-\beta s} e^{\frac{-\gamma s^{b+1}}{1+a}} ds \leq 2\gamma b^2 \alpha \int_0^\infty s^b e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &\leq 2C_6 \beta^{3-k} \gamma \alpha \int_0^\infty s^{b+3-k} e^{-\beta s} ds \\ &\leq 2C_6 \gamma (\beta^{3-k} + \beta^{2-k} + \beta^{1-k})\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.1), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we conclude that there exists a constant C such that

$$\|f_3(A)\| = \|e^{-\beta A}(I - e^{-\gamma A} e^{\gamma A_\alpha})\| \leq C(\beta^{3-k} + \beta^{2-k} + \beta^{1-k})\alpha.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Problem (1.2) is well posed.*

Proof. The solution of problem (1.2) is determined by the formula

$$u_\alpha(t) = e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} \varphi.$$

Assume that $u_{1\alpha}$ and $u_{2\alpha}$ are solutions of problem (1.2) corresponding the data φ_1 and φ_2 , respectively:

$$u_{i\alpha}(t) = e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} \varphi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

This implies that

$$\|u_{1\alpha}(t) - u_{2\alpha}(t)\| = \|e^{(T-t)A_\alpha}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\| \leq \|e^{(T-t)A_\alpha}\| \cdot \|(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|. \quad (3.8)$$

We consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $b = 1$. From Theorem 2.6 and (3.8) we obtain

$$\|u_{1\alpha}(t) - u_{2\alpha}(t)\| \leq 4e^{\frac{T-t}{\alpha}} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|. \quad (3.9)$$

Case 2: $b > 1$. From Theorem 2.6 and (3.8) we obtain

$$\|u_{1\alpha}(t) - u_{2\alpha}(t)\| \leq 2b(b+1) \exp\left((T-t)\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) we conclude that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|u_{1\alpha}(t) - u_{2\alpha}(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{\frac{T-t}{\alpha}} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1) \exp\left((T-t)\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Inequality (3.11) shows the continuous dependence of u_α on the data φ .

The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.4. *If $u(t)$ is a solution of problem (1.1) satisfying the condition*

$$\|u(0)\| \leq E, \quad (3.12)$$

and $u_\alpha(t)$ is the solution of problem (1.2), then there exists a constant C such that for any $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|u(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{\frac{T-t}{\alpha}} \varepsilon + C(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\alpha & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1) \exp\left((T-t)\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) \varepsilon + C(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\alpha & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases}$$

By choosing,

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} T\left(\ln \frac{E}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } b = 1 \\ T\left(\frac{b+1}{b-1}\left(\ln \frac{E}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}\right)^b & \text{if } b > 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

we obtain, for any $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|u(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{\frac{t}{\alpha}} E^{1-\frac{t}{\alpha}} + CT(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\left(\ln \frac{E}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1)\varepsilon^{\frac{t}{\alpha}} E^{1-\frac{t}{\alpha}} + CT(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\left(\frac{b+1}{b-1}\left(\ln \frac{E}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}\right)^b & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.5. To obtain the result of error estimate between the regularized solution and the exact solution, Chen, Hofmann and Zou [4, Theorem 3.15] assume that $u(0) \in X_\varphi$ and $\|u(0)\|_\varphi \leq Q$. This means

$$u(0) \in D(\varphi(A)^{-1}), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|\varphi(A)^{-1}u(0)\| \leq Q. \quad (3.15)$$

It is clear that $D(\varphi(A)^{-1}) \subset X$. Hence our condition $u(0) \in X$ is weaker than condition (3.14). Further, since $\varphi(A)$ is bounded, if $u(0)$ satisfies condition (3.15), then

$$\|u(0)\| = \|\varphi(A)\varphi(A)^{-1}u(0)\| \leq \|\varphi(A)\| \|\varphi(A)^{-1}u(0)\| \leq \|\varphi(A)\|Q.$$

Hence, our condition $\|u(0)\| \leq E$, with $E \geq \|\varphi(A)\|Q$, is weaker than condition (3.15). The above mentioned authors also show a specific case where the logarithmic convergence rate (see [4, p. 3548]) is

$$\|R_{\alpha(\delta), t} f^\delta - u(t)\| = O\left(\left[\frac{1}{\ln(1/\delta)}\right]^\xi\right) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (3.16)$$

for some exponent $\xi > 0$. We want to emphasize that the convergence rate in Theorem 3.4 is better than (3.16) if $b > \max\{1, \xi\}$.

Proof. Let w_α denote the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{\alpha t} + A_\alpha u_\alpha = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u_\alpha(T) = u(T). \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\|u(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| = \|u(t) - w_\alpha(t) + w_\alpha(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \|w_\alpha(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| + \|u(t) - w_\alpha(t)\|. \quad (3.17)$$

We evaluate the first term on the right-hand side of inequality (3.17). Similar to inequality (3.11), we have

$$\|w_\alpha(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{\frac{T-t}{\alpha}} \|u(T) - \varphi\| & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1) \exp\left((T-t)\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) \|u(T) - \varphi\| & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\|u(T) - \varphi\| \leq \varepsilon$, it follows that

$$\|w_\alpha(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{\frac{T-t}{\alpha}} \varepsilon & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1) \exp\left((T-t)\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) \varepsilon & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

Next, we evaluate the second term on the right-hand side of inequality (3.17). We have

$$w_\alpha(t) = e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} u(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$u(t) = e^{-tA} u(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.20)$$

This implies that

$$u(T) = e^{-TA} u(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.21)$$

From (3.19) and (3.21), we conclude that

$$w_\alpha(t) = e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} (e^{-TA} u(0)) = e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} e^{-TA} u(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.22)$$

From (3.20) and (3.22), we obtain

$$u(t) - w_\alpha(t) = (e^{-tA} - e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} e^{-TA}) u(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.23)$$

It follows from (3.23) that

$$\|u(t) - w_\alpha(t)\| \leq \|e^{-tA} - e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} e^{-TA}\| \|u(0)\| \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.24)$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we conclude that there exists a constant C such that

$$\|e^{-tA} - e^{(T-t)A_\alpha} e^{-TA}\| \leq C(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})\alpha, \quad 0 < t \leq T. \quad (3.25)$$

From (3.12), (3.24) and (3.25) we obtain

$$\|u(t) - w_\alpha(t)\| \leq C(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\alpha \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T]. \quad (3.26)$$

It follows from (3.17), (3.18) and (3.26) that, for any $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|u(t) - u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 4e^{\frac{T-t}{\alpha}} \varepsilon + C(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\alpha & \text{if } b = 1, \\ 2b(b+1) \exp\left((T-t)\left(\frac{b+1}{(b-1)\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right) \varepsilon + C(t^{3-k} + t^{2-k} + t^{1-k})E\alpha & \text{if } b > 1. \end{cases}$$

By choosing α according to formula (3.13), we obtain the assertion as in the theorem.

The theorem is proved. \square

Funding: The second author was supported by VAST project QTRU01.11/20-21

References

- [1] S. Agmon and L. Nirenberg, Properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach space, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **16** (1963), 121–239.
- [2] K. A. Ames and R. J. Hughes, Structural stability for ill-posed problems in Banach space, *Semigroup Forum* **70** (2005), no. 1, 127–145.
- [3] B. M. Campbell Hetrick and R. J. Hughes, Continuous dependence results for inhomogeneous ill-posed problems in Banach space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **331** (2007), no. 1, 342–357.

- [4] D.-H. Chen, B. Hofmann and J. Zou, Regularization and convergence for ill-posed backward evolution equations in Banach spaces, *J. Differential Equations* **265** (2018), no. 8, 3533–3566.
- [5] R. de Laubenfels, Functional calculus for generators of uniformly bounded holomorphic semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **38** (1989), no. 1, 91–103.
- [6] N. V. Duc and N. V. Thang, Stability results for semi-linear parabolic equations backward in time, *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **42** (2017), no. 1, 99–111.
- [7] R. E. Ewing, The approximation of certain parabolic equations backward in time by Sobolev equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **6** (1975), 283–294.
- [8] M. A. Fury, Estimates for solutions of nonautonomous semilinear ill-posed problems, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **2015** (2015), 479–488.
- [9] M. A. Fury, Logarithmic well-posed approximation of the backward heat equation in Banach space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **475** (2019), no. 2, 1367–1384.
- [10] M. A. Fury, Ill-posed problems associated with sectorial operators in Banach space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **492** (2020), no. 2, Article ID 124484.
- [11] H. Gajewski and K. Zacharias, Zur Regularisierung einer Klasse nichtkorrekter Probleme bei Evolutionsgleichungen, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **38** (1972), 784–789.
- [12] D. N. Hào, A mollification method for ill-posed problems, *Numer. Math.* **68** (1994), no. 4, 469–506.
- [13] D. N. Hào and N. V. Duc, Stability results for the heat equation backward in time, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **353** (2009), no. 2, 627–641.
- [14] D. N. Hào and N. V. Duc, Regularization of backward parabolic equations in Banach spaces, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* **20** (2012), no. 5–6, 745–763.
- [15] D. N. Hào and N. V. Duc, A non-local boundary value problem method for semi-linear parabolic equations backward in time, *Appl. Anal.* **94** (2015), no. 3, 446–463.
- [16] D. N. Hào, N. V. Duc and N. V. Thang, Backward semi-linear parabolic equations with time-dependent coefficients and local Lipschitz source, *Inverse Problems* **34** (2018), no. 5, Article ID 055010.
- [17] Y. Huang, Modified quasi-reversibility method for final value problems in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2008), no. 2, 757–769.
- [18] Y. Huang and Q. Zheng, Regularization for ill-posed Cauchy problems associated with generators of analytic semigroups, *J. Differential Equations* **203** (2004), no. 1, 38–54.
- [19] Y. Huang and Q. Zheng, Regularization for a class of ill-posed Cauchy problems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), no. 10, 3005–3012.
- [20] S. G. Kreĭn and O. I. Prozorovskaja, Analytic semi-groups and incorrect problems for evolutionary equations, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **1** (1960), 841–844.
- [21] M. M. Lavrent’ev, V. G. Romanov and S. P. Shishatskii, *Ill-Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics and Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1986.
- [22] I. V. Melnikova and A. Filinkov, *Abstract Cauchy Problems: Three Approaches*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math. 120, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2001.
- [23] K. Miller, Logarithmic convexity results for holomorphic semigroups, *Pacific J. Math.* **58** (1975), no. 2, 549–551.
- [24] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. 44, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [25] R. E. Showalter, The final value problem for evolution equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **47** (1974), 563–572.